

EAST AYRSHIRE COUNCIL**NORTHERN AREA LOCAL PLANNING COMMITTEE****MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON FRIDAY 30 AUGUST 2002 AT 1000 HOURS IN
DARVEL TOWN HALL, WEST MAIN STREET, DARVEL**

PRESENT: Councillors Jim Raymond, Stephanie Young, Isabella Macrae, Harry Wilson, Finlay MacLean and Robert McDill.

ATTENDING: Ian Walker, Planning Officer; Yvonne Nisbet, Senior Planning Officer; Karen McLeod, Solicitor; and Jennifer Morrison, Administrative Officer.

APOLOGIES: Councillors Brian McNeil and Ann Hay.

CHAIR: Councillor Jim Raymond, Chair.

HEARING PROCEDURE

1. The Administrative Officer established that the Hearing procedure was understood by all participants.
2. **APPLICATION NOS. 99/0829/FL AND 01/0426/LB: MR JOHN MILLAR - 22 MAIN ROAD, WATERSIDE**

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 25 July 2002 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning and Building Control which presented jointly for determination a full application and an application for listed building consent. The full application in respect of the proposed erection of 17 new houses and refurbishment and conversion of listed building to form 5 flats and the listed building consent application in respect of the refurbishment of listed building to form 5 flats both at 22 Main Road, Waterside.

2.1 Consideration of Item

The Senior Planning Officer reported that six objections had been received in respect of both applications, details of which were contained within the report; reported one additional condition to Application No. 01/0426/LB - that notwithstanding the plans hereby approved the positioning of the internal wall(s) marked green on the drawing no. 65400/2R1 is not hereby approved and further details of the precise location of the wall(s) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority prior to the commencement of work on site and thereafter the development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details. Reason: in the interests of preserving and maintaining the character of a Listed Building; summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application and gave the recommendation of the Head of Planning and Building Control: Approval of both applications subject to the conditions and for the reasons detailed in the report; and subject to the additional condition and reason as detailed above in respect of Listed Building Application No. 01/0426/LB; and that the Listed Building Application 01/0426/LB approval be subject to the notification of Historic Scotland under the Listed Buildings and Buildings in Conservation Areas (Scotland) Regulations 1997.

2.2 Planning Hearing

The Committee then heard Mr Francis, representing Moscow and Waterside Community Council in support of their objections and Mr David Begg of Thomson Dawes Architects representing the applicant in support of the application. Members of the Committee asked questions of the objector and the applicant's representative and the objector and the applicant's representative responded to issues raised, all in accordance with the Hearing procedure.

The Chair closed the Hearing.

2.3 Determination of Application

The Senior Planning Officer reported on planning issues raised during the Hearing.

It was agreed to grant both applications subject to the conditions and for the reasons detailed in the report and that the application for Listed Building Consent 01/0426/LB be subject to the additional condition and reason as reported and to the notification of Historic Scotland under the Listed Buildings and Buildings in Conservation Areas (Scotland) Regulations 1997.

3. APPLICATION NO 02/0415/FL: HUTCHISON 3G UK LTD - WEST MOSSIDE FARM, KIRKLANDSIDE, KILMARNOCK

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 20 August 2002 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning and Building Control for the proposed installation of a 22.5M high telecommunications monopole accomodating 3 antennas, 1 transmission dish, a ground based equipment cabin, 1.4M high timber fencing and ancillary development at West Mosside Farm, Kirklandside, Kilmarnock.

The Senior Planning Officer reported that three objections had been received, details of which were contained within the report; summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application and gave the recommendation of the Head of Planning and Building Control: Approval, subject to the conditions and for the reasons detailed in the report.

No Hearing took place as the objectors were not present or represented.

It was agreed to refuse the application on the grounds that it would have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the area and that the Committee was of the view that the proposed siting and location of the mast did not allay concerns within the local community of a risk of harm to public health if the proposal were to proceed.

4. APPLICATION NO 98/0216/OL: MR W BARCLAY - SILVERWOOD ROAD AND MEADOWSIDE, CROOKEDHOLM

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 21 August 2002 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning and Building Control on an outline application for proposed residential development at the rear of Silverwood Road and Meadowside, Crookedholm.

The Senior Planning officer summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application; reported that Scottish Water had objected to the application, details of which were contained within the report, but had indicated that they did not wish to be heard by the Committee in respect of their objections; and gave the

recommendation of the Head of Planning and Building Control: Approval, subject to the conditions and for the reasons detailed in the report and that the planning consent not be issued until a formal agreement under Section 75 had been satisfactorily concluded by the Solicitor to the Council with the applicants. Such an agreement would secure the details referred to in Section 6.4 of the Head of Planning and Building Control's report.

It was agreed to grant the application subject to the conditions and for the reasons detailed in the report and that planning consent not be issued until a formal agreement under Section 75 had been satisfactorily concluded by the Solicitor to the Council with the applicants in order to secure the details referred to in Section 6.4 of the Head of Planning and Building Control's report.

The meeting terminated at 1040 hours.