

**EAST AYRSHIRE COUNCIL****NORTHERN AREA LOCAL PLANNING COMMITTEE****MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY 16 DECEMBER 2004 AT  
1400 HOURS IN STEWARTON ANNICK YOUTH AND COMMUNITY CENTRE,  
STANDALANE, STEWARTON**

**PRESENT:** Councillors Maureen McKay, Jim O'Neill, John McGhee, Stephanie Young, Isabella Macrae, Jim Raymond, Stuart Finlayson and Robert McDill.

**ATTENDING:** Dave Morris, Development Promotion Manager; John Trakalo, Senior Engineer, Roads and Transportation; Peter Hessem, Team Leader – Litigation Team; and Christine Baillie, Administrative Officer.

**APOLOGY:** Councillor Harry Wilson.

**CHAIR:** Councillor Stuart Finlayson for Item 2 and Councillor Maureen McKay for Items 3, 4 and 5.

**HEARING PROCEDURE**

1. The Administrative Officer established that the Hearing procedure was understood by all participants.
2. **APPLICATION NO 04/1048/FL: REDROW HOMES SCOTLAND: LERWICK PLACE, KILMARNOCK**

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 9 December 2004 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards on a full planning application for proposed installation of children's play area and equipment at Lerwick Place, Kilmarnock.

**2.1 Consideration of Item**

The Development Promotion Manager reported that objections had been received from twelve persons, details of which were contained within the report; summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application; and gave the recommendation of the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards, viz:- Approval subject to the conditions and for the reasons detailed in the report.

**2.2 Planning Hearing**

The Committee then heard Mr Hattie, Mr McColl and Mr Nisbet in respect of their objections. Members of the Committee asked questions of the objectors in accordance with the agreed Hearing Procedure.

The applicant was not present or represented.

The Chair closed the Hearing.

**2.3 Determination of Application**

It was agreed to refuse the application on the grounds that the proposed play area and associated equipment would be detrimental to the amenity of the surrounding area.

Councillor Maureen McKay entered the meeting during the above Item but took no part in the consideration or determination of the application.

**3. APPLICATION NUMBER 04/0965/FL: ARF DEVELOPMENTS: 105B HIGH STREET, STEWARTON**

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 7 December 2004 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards on a full planning application for proposed change of use from workshop units to private house at 105B High Street, Stewarton.

**3.1 Consideration of Item**

The Development Promotion Manager reported that one letter of objection had been received, details of which were contained within the report; summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application; and gave the recommendation of the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards, viz:- Approval subject to the conditions, and for the reasons detailed in the report.

**3.2 Planning Hearing**

The Committee then heard Mr Fulton, on behalf of the objections by Stewarton and District Community Council. Members of the Committee asked questions of the objector in accordance with the agreed hearing Procedure.

The applicant was not present or represented.

The Chair closed the Hearing.

**3.3 Determination of Application**

It was agreed to approve the application subject to the conditions, and for the reasons, detailed in the report.

**4. APPLICATION NUMBER 03/0989/FL: VHE CONSTRUCTION PLC: LAND AT MEIKLE MOSSIDE, FENWICK**

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 7 December 2004 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards on an application for planning permission for proposed temporary caravan site during construction of M77.

The Development Promotion Manager reported that one letter of objection had been received, details of which were contained within the report; summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application; and gave the recommendation of the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards; viz:- Refusal, for the reasons detailed in the report and that the Committee agree to the commencement of enforcement action to secure removal of the caravans from the site within an appropriate time frame.

No Hearing took place as the objector was not present or represented.

It was agreed to refuse the application for the reasons detailed in the report. It was also agreed that enforcement action be commenced to secure removal of the caravans from the site.

**5. APPLICATION NUMBER 04/0526/OL: MR D WILSON: BERRYHILL FARM, MOSCOW (Item 5, Page 1136, 03/07)**

There was submitted an executive summary sheet and report dated 7 December 2004 (both circulated) by the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards on an outline planning application for proposed erection of dwellinghouse at Berryhill Farm, Moscow.

The Development Promotion Manager reported that no letters of objection had been received; reported that a response had been received from Moscow and Waterside Community Council in support of the application; summarised the planning considerations in respect of the application and gave the recommendation of the Head of Planning, Development and Building Standards, viz:- Refusal for the reasons detailed within the report.

Councillor Macrae, seconded by Councillor Raymond moved that the application be referred to the Development Services Committee with a recommendation for approval on the grounds that the proposal could be justified in terms of (i) the Approved Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan on the basis of social and economic benefit to the community and it provided for the operational needs of agriculture; and (ii) the Approved East Ayrshire Local Plan in that it was required for persons employed in agriculture and in a rural enterprise where the accommodation was essential to the economic operation of the business.

Councillor McGhee, seconded by Councillor McDill, moved as an amendment that the application be refused for the reasons detailed in the report.

On a division by a show of hands the motion was carried by six votes to two.

The meeting terminated at 1530 hours.