

## **EAST AYRSHIRE COUNCIL**

### **COUNCIL MEETING - 4 NOVEMBER 2010**

#### **RECOMMENDATION OF NORTHERN LOCAL PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 8 OCTOBER 2010 RELATING TO PLANNING APPLICATION NO 10/0345/PP: ERECTION OF 20 WIND TURBINES, CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS TRACKS, CRANE HARDSTANDING, A TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION COMPOUND, BORROW PITS, ONE METEOROLOGICAL MAST, ON-SITE CONTROL ROOM, ELECTRICAL SUB-STATION AND COMPOUND AT COWANS LAW, MOSCOW BY COMMUNITY WINDPOWER LTD**

#### **Report by the Executive Director of Finance and Corporate Support**

### **1. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

- 1.1** The purpose of this report is to inform Council of the recommendations of the Northern Local Planning Committee held on 8 October 2010 regarding the above planning application, and to invite Council to determine the application for planning permission in terms of the Scheme of Delegation on the grounds that the application under consideration proposes a major development as defined by the Town and Country Planning (Hierarchy of Developments) (Scotland) Regulations 2009, that is considered significantly contrary to the Development Plan.

### **2. BACKGROUND**

- 2.1** East Ayrshire Council at its meeting held on 25 June 2009, agreed amendments to the Scheme of Delegation and Administration to meet the requirements associated with the Government's proposals to modernise the planning system in Scotland.

- 2.2** Key changes, effective from 3 August 2009, included:-

- Local Planning Committees would determine all applications for major developments with the exception of (i) national developments as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Hierarchy of Developments) (Scotland) Regulations 2009; and (ii) major developments that are significantly contrary to the Local Development Plan. However, where required to do so, Local Planning Committees will hold Hearings on these applications and make recommendations to the Council, but only the Council can make the decisions.

### **3. APPLICATION NO 10/0345/PP: COMMUNITY WINDPOWER LTD: COWANS LAW, MOSCOW**

- 3.1** The application is for planning permission for the erection of 20 wind turbines, construction of access tracks, crane hardstanding, a temporary construction compound, borrow pits, one meteorological mast, on-site control room, electrical sub-station and compound at Cowans Law, Moscow, by Community Windpower Limited. It is deemed that under the Scheme of Delegation, the proposal represents a major development in terms of the Town and Country

Planning (Hierarchy of Developments) (Scotland) Regulations 2009 which is considered significantly contrary to the Development Plan and as such it is for the Council to determine.

## **3.2 Pre-Determination Hearing**

**3.2.1** A Pre-Determination Hearing was held by the Northern Local Planning Committee on 8 October 2010 to consider the report dated 1 October 2010 by the Head of Planning and Economic Development (the report is attached as Appendix 1).

**3.2.2** Members of the Northern Local Planning Committee were advised that the application was advertised in the Kilmarnock Standard on 4 June 2010 and a total of 13 letters of objection from 9 objectors had been received in connection with the application (summarised in the attached report); together with a further letter of objection which had been accepted and was verbally reported to Members making 14 letters from 10 parties. One letter of objection had subsequently been withdrawn and replaced with a letter of support; and of the recommendations of the Head of Planning and Economic Development as follows:-

- (i) that the Local Planning Committee adopt the position that the application should be refused for the reasons detailed in the report; and
- (ii) that the decision of the Local Planning Committee should be referred to the next appropriate Council meeting for determination.

**3.2.3** The Committee heard Rob Fryer representing the applicant in support of the application. Members of the Committee asked questions of the applicant's representative.

**3.2.4** The Committee then heard Donna Higgins representing Jay Steel, who had withdrawn her objections and replaced these with a letter of support which stated that despite her initial representations, Ms Steel advised she had now visited the visitor centre at Whitelee and was very impressed by the tourism that had been generated. She also advised that she did not feel the proposed windfarm would have an adverse impact on her business. Whilst Ms Steel's particular objections were withdrawn, other objectors maintained the objections referred to in their entirety in Section 5 of the attached report.

**3.2.5** The Committee then heard from Greta Roberts representing Moscow and Waterside Community Council in support of their objections.

**3.2.6** The Committee then heard Greta Roberts, C H Cuthbertson and Anita Crozier in respect of their own objections, and John Alexander representing Scottish Power Renewables in respect of their objections, all as contained in Section 5 of the attached report, and Niall Campbell on his objections which included: no need for another windfarm; the application being contrary to the development plan; the loss of trees which would be felled and not replaced resulting in an adverse impact on the landscape; the developer's financial offers to local groups being inappropriate; the impact on birds, wildlife and natural habitat; noise levels; detrimental impact on the Hareshawmuir Estate which is less than one kilometre from the proposed turbines; alleged profiteering by the

developer; the developer should give more to the local community; Scottish Power were objecting; and there was a requirement to save the environment for future generations.

Members of the Committee asked questions of the objectors and their representatives.

**3.2.6** The applicant's representative then exercised their right of reply to points raised by the objectors.

**3.2.7** Members of the Committee asked further questions of the applicant's representative.

**3.2.8** Fiona Finlay, Principal Planning Officer and Craig Young, Team Leader, Litigation and Advice, provided clarification on points raised during the Hearing with regard to Scottish Government Policy and Advice on Windfarm development; the application site boundaries; cumulative visual and landscape character impacts; any proposals to restrict the use of borrow pit no 9 being an amended proposal not before Members; the current planning appeal for 25 turbines; the application site being outwith the Preferred Area of Search for windfarms in the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan; residential amenity implications for nearby properties particularly at Hareshawmuir and the local community; the impact on birdlife; noise levels and general health and well being issues, together with further additional factors which were not material, in planning terms, to the consideration of this application.

### **3.3 Recommendation by Northern Local Planning Committee**

**3.3.1** Having heard the Pre-Determination Hearing, the Northern Local Planning Committee agreed:-

- (i) to adopt the position that the application should be recommended for refusal for the reasons detailed in the report, specifically that the proposal was contrary to Policies ECON 6, ECON 7(A), (D) and (G), and ENV (A) and (E) of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan; Policies CS9 (iv) and (vii) and ENV 13 (i) and (ii) of the Adopted East Ayrshire Local Plan; and Policies CS 14 (A), (D) and (G), CS 12 (ii), ENV 15 (vi) and ENV 17 (iv) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan in terms of a significant and adverse cumulative impact on the landscape and amenity of the surrounding area and communities; unacceptable adverse visual impact on the skylines within the landscape and on the corridor along the A719 and on the residential amenity of the residential properties along Hareshawmuir Road; and that the drive for renewable energy, as a material consideration, did not outweigh the policy position; and
- (ii) that the recommendation of the Local Planning Committee, that the application be refused, be referred to the next appropriate Council meeting for determination.

## **4. FINANCIAL/POLICY/COMMUNITY PLANNING IMPLICATIONS - Nil.**

## **5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

**5.1** Prior to the Council determining the application, a Pre-Determination Hearing required to be heard by a Committee of the authority, in this case the Northern Local Planning Committee.

**5.2** As a consequence, this planning application now requires to be determined by Council in terms of Section 56 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (as amended), and in terms of the Council's Scheme of Delegation, given that it comprises a major development that is considered to be significantly contrary to the development plan.

## **6. RECOMMENDATION**

**6.1** It is recommended:-

- (i) that Council determine the planning application, giving consideration not only to the terms of the report by the Head of Planning and Economic Development referred to in Appendix 1; but also the recommendation by the Northern Local Planning Committee as detailed above; and
- (ii) to otherwise note the contents of the report.

Alex McPhee  
Executive Director of Finance and Corporate Support

12 October 2010  
GH/SR

### **LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS - NIL**

Any person wishing further information on this report should contact Gillian Hamilton, Administrative Officer, on Tel No (01563) 576093.

**Implementation Officer: Gillian Hamilton, Administrative Officer.**

# EAST AYRSHIRE COUNCIL

NORTHERN LOCAL PLANNING COMMITTEE: 8 OCTOBER 2010

**10/0345/PP: ERECTION OF 20 WIND TURBINES, CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS TRACKS, CRANE HARDSTANDING, TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION COMPOUND, BORROW PITS, ONE METEOROLOGICAL MAST, ON SITE CONTROL ROOM, ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION AND COMPOUND AT COWANS LAW, MOSCOW**

**BY COMMUNITY WINDPOWER LTD**

Click for application details: <http://eplanning.east-ayrshire.gov.uk/online/centralDistribution.do?action=dispatch&caseType=Application&caseNo=10/0345/PP>

## **Report by Head of Planning and Economic Development**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET**

#### **1. DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION**

The development proposes the following:

- 20 wind turbines of up to 126.5 metres to tip height (i.e. height from ground level to the tip of the blade when vertical) producing up to 50MW in total.
- each turbine will be an Asynchronous turbine and grey in colour
- hardstanding area at each turbine base
- on site access tracks and associated watercourse crossings
- one substation compound
- one permanent 80 metre high meteorological mast
- on site underground cabling
- formation of a new access road from the A719 to the site
- 9 borrow pits
- temporary construction and site storage compound

1.1 The majority of the development site is located within Sneddons Law and Cowans Law which form part of Whitelee Forest, a commercial forest and it is proposed to incorporate existing forest tracks into the design of the proposed development.

1.2 The applicant estimates that approximately 95000m<sup>3</sup> of stone will be required for construction of the wind farm (including access tracks, structural fill beneath turbine foundations and hardstanding at turbine bases, compounds and borrow pits). It is anticipated that stone will be sourced from nine on site borrow pits.

1.3 The principal construction and operations access to the site would be from the proposed new access road from the A719 moving east past Alton Muirhouse Farm. The proposed access road will be 5.0 metres in width and access to the road will be gated and restricted to wind farm traffic and local farm traffic.

- 1.4 The wind farm proposal comprises 20 three bladed horizontal axis wind turbines. It is proposed to finish the turbines in a pale matt grey/off white colour. The turbines are computer controlled to ensure that at all times each turbine faces directly into the wind. Each turbine will have a maximum tower hub height of 80m and a rotor diameter of 101m and will reach a maximum height of 126.50 metres from base to blade tip.
- 1.5 Commercial Forestry operations will continue during the operation of the proposed wind farm. The development site has been designed in accordance with the Forest Design Plans with the objective of minimising the effect on the productive forest and on proposed forestry activities. The majority of the development area has already been felled or is due for felling. The timber extraction route will be from the main section of the forestry via minor roads south of Raithmuir to the A719. The route passes through the village of Moscow and in order to minimise disruption to local residents there will be restrictions on the number of movements and on when these can take place.
- 1.6 A temporary construction compound measuring up to 50m by 50m will be required to provide site offices and staff facilities for construction workers. The compound area will also provide a second storage compound measuring 100m by 50m and lay down areas for plant and materials. On completion of the wind farm the construction compound area will be reinstated.
- 1.7 In order to convert the electricity generated by the wind farm, a substation compound containing a range of equipment will require to be constructed. The substation compound will be approximately 24m by 28m containing green coloured steel containers. The generated electricity will be exported from the wind farm via an underground cable to the A719.
- 1.8 One permanent meteorological mast is proposed, consisting of a free standing mast some 80 metres high and will be used to monitor wind speed and environmental conditions with the data being remotely monitored.
- 1.9 The applicant proposes that the lifetime of the project would be 30 years, covering commissioning, operation and decommissioning of the site. At the end of the life of the wind farm it is proposed that the turbines, transformers and the on site substation would be removed. The upper sections (approx. 1m) of the turbine foundations would be removed and the ground reinstated. Tracks that are to be utilised as part of the ongoing commercial forestry operations will remain but other tracks will be allowed to grass over or be covered in soil and reseeded.
- 1.10 The application is accompanied by an Environmental Statement that covers a wide range of environmental considerations particularly in respect of landscape and visual impact, impact on the natural and built environment and cumulative impact.
- 1.11 In terms of socio-economic benefit, the applicant indicates that beneficial effects have been identified during the construction phase for on site employment for more than 80 people and service provision to the local and wider economy. There will also be opportunities for local companies to supply goods and services necessary for the construction of the wind farm. The applicant states that overall the development and construction of

Cowans Law Wind farm will represent an investment of £30 million in the Scottish Economy.

- 1.12 The applicant states that Cowans Law Wind farm would result in developer contributions of £2500 per megawatt of installed capacity, index linked to 01 January 2008 payable to East Ayrshire Council's Renewable Energy Fund.
- 1.13 In addition to the developer contribution to the Renewable Energy Fund the developer has pledged a further £75000 per year in community benefits through funding an educational ranger and funding a range of community projects to improve the local amenity and environment. The developer has also pledged support to community fundraisers seeking donations for Moscow Community Hall, improvements to play parks in Moscow and Fenwick and a donation towards a small wind turbine at Loudoun Academy.
- 1.14 Community Windpower Ltd has developed a series of presentations for schools which explain climate change, global warming and sustainability issues. Community Windpower Ltd states that an educational ranger will be appointed to support the schools at Galston and Fenwick to assist teaching staff with the delivery of environment related topics ranging from ecosystems, climate change and recycling. It is proposed that the ranger will be available to work with other educational and environmental groups and will use the wind farm as a teaching resource.
- 1.15 Additional community proposals by Community Windpower include; wildlife watch schemes and the provision of bursaries for students from the local area who are enrolled on renewable energy courses at university.

## **2. RECOMMENDATION**

- 2.1 It is recommended that the Local Planning Committee adopt the position that the application should be refused for the reasons indicated on the attached sheet.
- 2.2 It is recommended that the decision of the Local Planning Committee should be referred to the next appropriate Council meeting for determination.

## **3 CONCLUSIONS**

- 3.1 As indicated in section 6 of the report, the application is not in accordance with the development plan. As is indicated at Section 7 of the report, there are material considerations relevant to this application. These are not generally supportive of the application in terms of representations, national policy, planning advice and guidance.
- 3.2 The proposed Cowans Law wind farm development does not comply with policy ECON 6, ECON7 parts (A), (D) & (G) and Policy ENV1 (A), (E) of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan 2007. Furthermore the proposal does not comply with policy CS9 (ii), (iv), (vii) and Policy ENV13 (i) and (ii) of the East Ayrshire Local Plan 2003. In terms of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan the proposal is contrary to policy ENV17 (iv), policy CS12 (ii) and (iv) and policy CS14 (A), (D) and (G).

Assessing the proposals against the development plan it is considered that the proposal:

- presents unacceptable visual and landscape impacts in terms of the setting of the proposed wind farm within the immediate wider landscape
- presents unacceptable adverse cumulative impacts when considered with the existing, authorised and proposed wind farms within the vicinity of the site.

3.3 The proposed development, together with other existing wind farm developments (existing, consented and proposed) will provide a generating capacity that would meet a significant portion of the Scottish Executive's renewable energy 2020 target placing a disproportionate burden on the local communities in East Ayrshire in satisfying national energy requirements. This is of particular relevance when considering the contribution already made by East Ayrshire in terms of existing renewable energy production as currently, Whitelee wind farm is the largest onshore wind farm in Europe with the majority of the turbines located in East Ayrshire and also in terms of the contribution through the production of opencast coal with consented reserves being greater than that of any other part of the UK.

3.4 It is recognised that the proposed development would result in potential socio-economic benefits through the following:

- the preparation of a Habitat Management Plan that, in terms of proposed mitigation of adverse impacts on the natural environment, would deliver positive benefits to managed areas through increased biodiversity;
- funding by the developer of an educational ranger and the provision of bursaries for students from the local area who are enrolled on renewable energy courses at university
- the potential developer contributions to the Council's Renewable Energy Fund
- the significant benefits accruing from the £30 million investment that potentially would generate output worth an estimated £8 million in the East Ayrshire economy

3.5 Taking all matters into account the potential benefits to be accrued from the proposed development do not in this instance outweigh the significant adverse unacceptable cumulative impacts on the landscape character and visual amenity that would result from the Cowans Law Wind farm in the form and on the area in which it is proposed.

## **CONTRARY DECISION**

Should the Committee agree that the application should be refused contrary to the recommendation of the Head of Planning and Economic Development, then the application will not require to be referred to Council for a determination, as it would not be a significant departure from Council policy.

**Alan Neish**  
**Head of Planning and Economic Development**

**Note: This document combines key sections of the associated report for quick reference and should not in itself be considered as having been the basis for recommendation preparation or decision making by the Planning Authority.**

# **EAST AYRSHIRE COUNCIL**

**NORTHERN LOCAL PLANNING COMMITTEE: 08 OCTOBER 2010**

**10/0345/PP: ERECTION OF 20 WIND TURBINES, CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS TRACKS, CRANE HARDSTANDING, TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION COMPOUND, BORROW PITS, ONE METEOROLOGICAL MAST, ON SITE CONTROL ROOM, ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION AND COMPOUND AT COWANS LAW, MOSCOW**

**BY COMMUNITY WINDPOWER LTD**

## **Report by Head of Planning and Economic Development**

### **1. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to present for consideration an application for planning permission which is to be considered firstly by the Local Planning Committee. Under the scheme of delegation the proposed development represents a major application in terms of the Town and Country Planning (Hierarchy of Development) (Scotland) Regulations 2009 which is significantly contrary to the Development Plan and therefore determination of the application rests with the Council. The Local Planning Committee is required to consider all representations made as part of a pre-determination hearing procedure prior to making a formal recommendation on the application to a subsequent meeting of the Council.

### **2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- 2.1 Planning application 08/0645/FL was received by East Ayrshire Council on 22 July 2008 for the erection of 25 turbines, associated works and the formation of a new access road. Consultation responses indicated that additional information was required to be submitted by the applicant in particular in relation to issues of landscape character, habitat management and aviation mitigation.
- 2.2 Over a period of more than 18 months EAC planning service and the applicant worked together to progress the application towards determination by the Council and by November 2009 it appeared that the remaining outstanding issue of aviation mitigation had been resolved between the developer and NATS.
- 2.3 The developer and the planning authority agreed a way forward with the submission by the developer of an amendment to the planning application and subject to further consultation and notification to be undertaken by the planning authority it was anticipated that the application could be included on a February 2010 agenda for the Northern Local Planning Committee for determination by the Council. However details of the amendment to the application were not submitted by the applicant to the planning authority.
- 2.4 On 21 December 2009 the developer submitted a Proposal of Application Notice to East Ayrshire Council for 25 wind turbines with associated works for the same proposal and site (08/0645/FL) at Cowans Law.

- 2.5 On 29 March 2010, Community Windpower Ltd submitted an appeal to the Scottish Ministers on the basis of the non-determination of application 08/0645/FL. This appeal is currently subject to a hearing procedure and written representation procedure.
- 2.6 On 16 April 2010 Community Windpower Ltd submitted a planning application 10/0345/PP to East Ayrshire Council for the erection of 20 wind turbines with associated works for the same site as application 08/0645/FL at Cowans Law.
- 2.7 At a pre-determination hearing of the Northern Local Planning Committee on 04 June 2010 the Committee recommended to Council that the view to be taken by the Council in relation to the appeal for non determination was that the application should have been refused.
- 2.8 At the subsequent Council meeting on 24 June 2010 the Council determined that it was the view of the Council that the application should be refused and that this view should be conveyed to the Directorate for Planning and Environmental Appeals as representing the position of the Council in relation to the current non determination appeal.
- 2.9 In conclusion, in relation to the site at Cowans Law the planning authority is now in the situation of having a current application for a wind farm for 20 turbines (10/0345/PP) and an appeal for non determination for 25 turbines, simultaneously in place.

### **3. APPLICATION DETAILS**

- 3.1 **Site Description:** The application site comprises an area of commercially managed forestry which lies approximately 6km north east of Kilmarnock, 2.5km east of Moscow and 4.5km north of Galston, Darvel and Newmilns. The site is in close proximity to the east of the A719 and is approximately 4km to the west of junction 8 of the M77.
- 3.2 There are no major roads within the site, although there are private farm access tracks and forestry roads. There are numerous small streams throughout the site including Stanley Burn, Grassyards Burn, Pockinan Burn, Neilsons Burn, Carlin Burn and Whitley Burn. However part of the site is located within the Lochgoin and Craigendunton water catchment area.
- 3.3 The site is covered by mature forestry plantations and is situated in a landscape area categorised as plateau moorlands. There are no statutory landscape conservation designations, Scheduled Ancient Monuments or Listed Buildings on the application site.
- 3.4 The application site is bounded to the north by some forestry and an area of landscape characterised by blanket bogs heather, grass and moorland. Hareshawmuir Road with its scattered farms and houses is situated approximately 1km to the north of the site boundary. To the west the site is bounded by some forestry and predominantly agricultural land and to the south the site is bounded by agricultural land.

- 3.5 The application site is bounded to the east by Whitelee Wind farm which currently has 140 turbines constructed on site and has consent for a further 75 turbines.
- 3.6 **Proposed Development:** The development proposes the following:
- 20 wind turbines of up to 126.5 metres to tip height (i.e. height from ground level to the tip of the blade when vertical) producing up to 50MW in total.
  - each turbine will be an Asynchronous turbine and grey in colour
  - hardstanding area at each turbine base
  - on site access tracks and associated watercourse crossings
  - one substation compound
  - one permanent 80 metre high meteorological mast
  - on site underground cabling
  - formation of a new access road from the A719 to the site
  - 9 borrow pits
  - temporary construction and site storage compound
- 3.7 The majority of the development site is located within Sneddons Law and Cowans Law which form part of Whitelee Forest, a commercial forest and it is proposed to incorporate existing forest tracks into the design of the proposed development.
- 3.8 The applicant estimates that approximately 95000m<sup>3</sup> of stone will be required for construction of the wind farm (including access tracks, structural fill beneath turbine foundations and hardstanding at turbine bases, compounds and borrow pits). It is anticipated that stone will be sourced from nine on site borrow pits.
- 3.9 The principal construction and operations access to the site would be from the proposed new access road from the A719 moving east past Alton Muirhouse Farm. The proposed access road will be 5.0 metres in width and access to the road will be gated and restricted to wind farm traffic and local farm traffic.
- 3.10 The wind farm proposal comprises 20 three bladed horizontal axis wind turbines. It is proposed to finish the turbines in a pale matt grey/off white colour. The turbines are computer controlled to ensure that at all times each turbine faces directly into the wind. Each turbine will have a maximum tower hub height of 80m and a rotor diameter of 101m and will reach a maximum height of 126.50 metres from base to blade tip.
- 3.11 Commercial Forestry operations will continue during the operation of the proposed wind farm. The development site has been designed in accordance with the Forest Design Plans with the objective of minimising the effect on the productive forest and on proposed forestry activities. The majority of the development area has already been felled or is due for felling. The timber extraction route will be from the main section of the forestry via minor roads south of Raithmuir to the A719. The route passes through the village of Moscow and in order to minimise disruption to local residents there will be restrictions on the number of movements and on when these can take place.

- 3.12 A temporary construction compound measuring up to 50m by 50m will be required to provide site offices and staff facilities for construction workers. The compound area will also provide a second storage compound measuring 100m by 50m and lay down areas for plant and materials. On completion of the wind farm the construction compound area will be reinstated.
- 3.13 In order to convert the electricity generated by the wind farm, a substation compound containing a range of equipment will require to be constructed. The substation compound will be approximately 24m by 28m containing green coloured steel containers. The generated electricity will be exported from the wind farm via an underground cable to the A719.
- 3.14 One permanent meteorological mast is proposed, consisting of a free standing mast some 80 metres high and will be used to monitor wind speed and environmental conditions with the data being remotely monitored.
- 3.15 The applicant proposes that the lifetime of the project would be 30 years, covering commissioning, operation and decommissioning of the site. At the end of the life of the wind farm it is proposed that the turbines, transformers and the on site substation would be removed. The upper sections (approx. 1m) of the turbine foundations would be removed and the ground reinstated. Tracks that are to be utilised as part of the ongoing commercial forestry operations will remain but other tracks will be allowed to grass over or be covered in soil and reseeded.
- 3.16 The application is accompanied by an Environmental Statement that covers a wide range of environmental considerations particularly in respect of landscape and visual impact, impact on the natural and built environment and cumulative impact.
- 3.17 In terms of socio-economic benefit, the applicant indicates that beneficial effects have been identified during the construction phase for on site employment for more than 80 people and service provision to the local and wider economy. There will also be opportunities for local companies to supply goods and services necessary for the construction of the wind farm. The applicant states that overall the development and construction of Cowans Law Wind farm will represent an investment of £30 million in the Scottish Economy.
- 3.18 The applicant states that Cowans Law Wind farm would result in developer contributions of £2500 per megawatt of installed capacity, index linked to 01 January 2008 payable to East Ayrshire Council's Renewable Energy Fund.
- 3.19 In addition to the developer contribution to the Renewable Energy Fund the developer has pledged a further £75000 per year in community benefits through funding an educational ranger and funding a range of community projects to improve the local amenity and environment. The developer has also pledged support to community fundraisers seeking donations for Moscow Community Hall, improvements to play parks in Moscow and Fenwick and a donation towards a small wind turbine at Loudoun Academy.

- 3.20 Community Windpower Ltd has developed a series of presentations for schools which explain climate change, global warming and sustainability issues. Community Windpower Ltd states that an educational ranger will be appointed to support the schools at Galston and Fenwick to assist teaching staff with the delivery of environment related topics ranging from ecosystems, climate change and recycling. It is proposed that the ranger will be available to work with other educational and environmental groups and will use the wind farm as a teaching resource.
- 3.21 Additional community proposals by Community Windpower include; wildlife watch schemes and the provision of bursaries for students from the local area who are enrolled on renewable energy courses at university.

#### **4. CONSULTATIONS AND ISSUES RAISED**

- 4.1 The responses received in connection with the consultations issued by this Service are summarised for the purposes of this report as follows:
- 4.2 East Ayrshire Environmental Health Service has not objected to the proposal but states that assuming that the applicant's measurements and predictions are accurate, the noise impact of the development is likely to be minimal. In terms of the cumulative noise assessment EHS has indicated that the Cowans Law wind development is unlikely to have any impact on the overall noise environment in the area generally.

***It is noted that the Environmental Health Service (EHS) indicates that the noise impact of the proposal, on its own, would be minimal.***

- 4.2.1 Based on the original comments of EHS the Planning Service requested the developer to provide a cumulative noise assessment for the proposed development. A cumulative noise assessment was provided by the applicant and submitted to EHS for comments. EHS has stated that from the information supplied in the cumulative noise assessment the Cowans Law development is unlikely to have any impact on the overall noise environment in the area generally and the three identified properties specifically.

#### ***Noted.***

- 4.3 East Ayrshire Council Roads and Transportation Service has not objected to the proposal subject to conditions in relation to the following issues:
1. Transport Assessment will be required, which will include:
    - a) Details of a Roads Scotland Act (1984) Section 96 agreement
    - b) Access strategy to site
    - c) Structural survey of all structures on proposed access route
  2. The agreement of a Transport Management Plan prior to any construction work with regards to:
    - a) Restrictions and approved routes
    - b) Off peak deliveries
    - c) Removal/replacement of street furniture during abnormal deliveries
    - d) Signage warning of abnormal load deliveries ahead and signs at access to site on A719
    - e) Road maintenance and cleaning/wheel wash facilities

3. Sight lines of x=2.5metres x y=215metres are required.

4. Access to the site requires to be constructed to the Roads Service's satisfaction, surfacing and drainage for a minimum distance of 25 metres. A nearside taper as per Figure 5.4 of the Roads Development Guide requires to be constructed to facilitate the exit of long vehicles from the site.

***Should planning permission be granted then the above issues can be resolved by appropriate planning conditions and a Section 96 legal agreement (Roads Scotland Act) between the Council and the applicant to ensure the turbine transportation routes and the remedy of any road damage as a result of the development.***

4.4 Glasgow Prestwick International Airport (GPA) indicates that the proposed wind farm appears to be entirely terrain shielded from their primary surveillance radar (PSR) and consequently offer no objection to the proposal. However Infratil note one caveat to their position of non objection:

1. The turbine tip height must not exceed 126.5m. If there were to be any proposal to increase the turbine tip height, we would need to review our position as any higher turbine tip height may result in the turbines no longer being terrain shielded from our PSR. Radar visibility of the Cowans Law turbines may not in itself be the grounds for a GPA objection, but if the turbines were visible we would need to review the impact of their visibility on our ability to provide a safe, orderly and expeditious air traffic service. Such an analysis would take into account the cumulative impact of other developments which may at that stage have been consented or built.

***Should planning permission be granted then the above issue can be resolved by appropriate planning condition and a legal agreement between the Council and the applicant.***

4.5 British Airports Authority Glasgow Airport – no objection.

4.6 National Air Traffic Service (NATS) (NERL Safeguarding) - no objection

4.7 Defence Estates (Ministry of Defence) – has not objected to the application

4.8 Scottish Natural Heritage – No objection has been raised by SNH subject to the imposition of conditions in relation to: protected species management plan, habitat management plan, peat depth survey and a peat slide risk assessment.

***Should planning permission be granted then the above issues can be resolved by appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement between the Council and the applicant.***

4.8.1 However, it should be noted that SNH has raised concerns over the issue of the visual and landscape impact of the proposed wind farm. SNH advise that Cowans Law will have significant and adverse impacts on the landscape character of the lowlands to the west and south and advise that the planning authority requires to carefully consider this issue. SNH advice also concludes that the views of all the Cowans Law turbines will have an adverse effect on the experience of the smaller scale of the adjacent settled

Ayrshire Lowlands to the west and the Upper River Valley to the south due to being within 2km and therefore a visually dominant feature in the landscape.

- 4.8.2 SNH considers that the proposed turbines at Cowans Law will overwhelm the adjacent lowland and valley because of their proximity on ground higher than the viewer, and their being seen in comparison with houses, farm buildings, trees and hedgerows. Cowans Law wind farm will therefore have a significant adverse effect on the experience and enjoyment of the natural heritage.

***The planning authority notes and is in general agreement with the advice of SNH and seeks to address the issues of both visual and landscape impacts and cumulative impacts of the development at Parts 6 and 7 of this report.***

- 4.9 Royal Society for the Protection of Birds - no objection subject to the imposition of conditions and a legal agreement in relation to replacement tree planting, replacement hedgerows and the appointment of an ecologist to monitor breeding and nesting birds.

***Should planning permission be granted then the above issues can be resolved by appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement between the Council and the applicant.***

- 4.10 New Farm Loch Community Council and Dunlop and Lugton Community Council – no objection

- 4.11 Galston, Moscow and Waterside, Newmilns and Greenholm, Stewarton and District, Kilmaurs, Fenwick, Darvel and District, Bellfield, Bonnyton, Grange and Howard, Piersland-Bentinck and Hurlford and Crookedholm Community Councils - no consultation response received.

- 4.12 Moscow and Waterside Community Council - has objected to the application on the following grounds:

1. The 126.5 metre high turbines are nearer to our communities than many of the original turbines at Whitelee, also with little intervening topography and there will be greater adverse visual impact on our area and on the wider landscape.

2. We object to the application as the site is outwith the local plan designated search area for wind farms, which itself has afforded scope for a huge wind farm at Whitelee. It is of concern that if the currently approved local plan wind farm search boundary is breached by wind farms located closer to our rural communities then a dangerous precedent will be established.

3. The cumulative visual impact of Whitelee is already considered much more oppressive than local communities had envisaged. Further extension west of the mass of wind turbines would be unacceptable. We believe that the Scottish Government's recommended buffer zone of 2km would be breached.

4. The Community Council has had their fair share of accommodating wind energy generation. The removal of trees before maturity, the destruction of peat and moss and altering surrounding hydrology with the resultant loss of habitats and carbon emissions is unacceptable.

5. East Ayrshire Council has identified a Core Path through the site which would be eradicated or blocked if this wind farm was approved.

***All of the above issues raised by Moscow and Waterside Community Council are noted and sections 4, 6 and 7 of this report provide a detailed response to these issues by the planning authority.***

6. Our understanding of the cumulative noise impact is that there will be additional noise affecting our rural communities, particularly houses to the east of the villages of Moscow and Waterside. More information is required on the noise impact on these isolated properties.

***The Council's Environmental Health Section has not objected to the proposal. Should planning permission be granted, appropriate conditions could be attached to address this issue.***

4.13 Scottish Power Distribution - no objections

4.14 Ayrshire Joint Planning Unit - The proposal is for a wind farm of 20 turbines generating up to 50MW with a base to tip height of 126.5m

In light of the recent Reporter's decision on the East Ayrshire Local Plan a detailed boundary of the search area has been confirmed. This site now lies outwith the area of search identified in the Structure Plan for large scale wind farms and now falls within an area afforded significant protection.

The areas afforded significant protection are designated for a variety of reasons, however in this case there is a recognition through the Reporter's decision (defining the search area boundary) that the limit of acceptable impact of wind farms on landscape has been reached and that further development to the south and west of the area of search will lead to significant adverse cumulative impacts.

The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy ECON7 (D).

4.15 West of Scotland Archaeology Service – no objections subject to conditions in relation to; the identification of archaeology remains at High Rushaw and Sneddons Hall and the fencing of these areas and the implementation of an archaeological watching brief.

***Should planning permission be granted, the above issues could be addressed by appropriate planning conditions.***

4.16 Scottish Environment Protection Agency – No objections subject to the imposition of planning conditions in relation to: a construction method statement (and mitigation measures), soil/peat survey, environmental management plan, site waste management plan, construction management plan, integrated drainage strategy, flood risk, pollution prevention, river/stream crossings, access tracks and roads, foul drainage, borrow pit drainage, soil storage and the storage of chemicals and oil.

***Should planning permission be granted the above issues could be addressed by appropriate planning conditions.***

4.17 Scottish Water – no objections

4.18 Countryside Access Officer Neighbourhood Services – the proposal will bisect the Core Path Irvine Valley No.19 and an alternative route will require to be provided during the construction of the wind farm and the Core Path reinstated after the completion of construction.

***Should planning permission be granted the above issue could be addressed by appropriate planning conditions.***

## **5. REPRESENTATIONS**

5.1 The application was advertised in the Kilmarnock Standard on 04 June 2010 in accordance with the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 1999. Thirteen letters of objection from nine objectors have been received in connection with the application. The points of objection are summarised as follows.

### Cumulative Effect

5.2 There are too many turbines in East Ayrshire and I find this detrimental to my business as I am surrounded by wind farms at Whitelee and now this proposal.

5.3 Approval of this proposal coupled with the 140 plus turbines approved at Whitelee would create the largest concentration of these massive turbines in western Europe and would enclose Cowans Law with an unattractive, modern industrial landscape. Surely the Council and East Ayrshire has fulfilled their commitment to renewable energy with Whitelee wind farm.

5.4 The residential properties on Hareshawmuir Road will be surrounded on three sides by wind farms. The saturation effect not only includes deleterious effects on local residents but has a cumulative effect on wildlife.

***Sections 6 and 7 of this report provide a detailed account of cumulative impacts of the proposed development. However it is agreed that in this instance the siting and design of the proposed wind farm at Cowans Law will result in a significant unacceptable adverse impact on both the character of the landscape and the visual amenity of the surrounding area. It is acknowledged that a wind farm of this considerable size and scale will result in a significant visual impact on the landscape character and visual amenity of the area, particularly at Hareshawmuir and on the residential amenity of that area.***

### Access Road

5.5 The access road is a massive, prominent visual scar, to the detriment of the rural landscape within East Ayrshire. The road appears to constitute a fairly major roadway, larger than surrounding rural roads, with extensive engineering operations and if approved would create a blight on the rural landscape.

***It is acknowledged that the construction of a new road would result in landscape and visual effects. However the proposed access route is not significantly larger than surrounding road networks and provides access to the wind farm and is not therefore for use by general traffic.***

- 5.6 The access road is unsuitable. Community Windpower are basically building a brand new road across the countryside to service a wind farm. This road is close to residential properties, no details of vehicle movements are provided.

***The Roads Service has indicated that should planning permission be granted the applicant would require to submit a transport statement in relation to the issue of vehicle movements both within the site and to include the turbine transportation route.***

- 5.7 The site boundary and the access route are situated close to the residential property at Alton Lodge and the new access road will result in dust, fumes, noise and a safety risk to the residents. The proposed route will have to cater for heavy plant and vehicles with the possible extraction of timber.

***It is acknowledged that part of the new access road which links the site to borrow pit 9 is located in close proximity to the property at Alton Lodge and that the proposed number vehicular movements would result in a significant adverse effect on the residential amenity of this property. No adverse comments have been received from the Roads Service or Environmental Health in relation to these issues.***

- 5.8 No information is provided on borrow pit 9 regarding site investigation. The road access to this pit is unacceptable. Why is this location of borrow pit required?

***In terms of borrow pits it is noted that the applicant proposes 9 borrow pits for 20 turbines and their related infrastructure requirements. In comparison, the much larger size and scale of wind farm development at the adjacent Whitelee wind farm did not require as many borrow pits for that development.***

#### Development Plan

- 5.9 The proposal does not satisfy the five key objectives of the Structure Plan, is not sustainable and does not comply with Structure Plan or Local Plan policy in terms of visual impact, the impact on the landscape and environmental impacts.

***In terms of response to this issue please refer to sections 6 and 7 of this report.***

#### Noise

- 5.10 It is significant that the background noise survey levels are higher than those previously recorded at the site. Is it an attempt to show that the differential

between existing background noise and potential turbine noise would be insignificant. Any increase in current noise levels would be unacceptable.

- 5.11 Our property is one of the closest to the wind farm but was not included in any noise study. The general noise of the area plus the noise of the turbines would be disturbing to our property and to the surrounding countryside.
- 5.12 The cumulative noise assessment is based on properties further away from the proposed wind farm than those along the Hareshawmuir Road, very much closer to the turbines.
- 5.13 The noise assessment provided by the applicant does not assess the noise levels to the nearest residential properties and will lead to an increase of more than five times in overall noise levels.
- 5.14 The noise assessment specifically considered three properties but excluded other properties which are affected by cumulative noise of the development with the surrounding wind farm.

***Environmental Health have not offered any adverse comments in relation to the noise levels of the proposed wind farm or to the applicants cumulative noise assessment.***

***Should planning permission be granted then appropriate planning conditions would be attached to any consent to address the above issues.***

#### Shadow Flicker

- 5.15 The location of the wind turbines near to residential properties will cause “flicker” as the blade casts a shadow causing irritation and a constant restless quality over the landscape.

***The Environmental Health Service has not offered any objection to the proposal and has stated that the overall impact of the development is likely to be minimal.***

#### Environmental Issues

- 5.16 The application site encompasses considerable areas of peat much of it blanket bog, the loss of trees and habitat areas, especially for birds. The proposal will cause an adverse impact on these areas.

***SNH has assessed the applicants environmental statement and habitat management plan and has not objected subject to appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement to address this issue. (see section 4 of this report).***

#### Communication signals

- 5.17 Communications signals are already poor in the area and this development may have a potential negative impact on the broadband, telephone and television services residents receive.

***Should planning permission be granted appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement attached to any consent would address these issues.***

#### Separation Distances

- 5.18 The turbines are unsightly and will be within 2 kilometres of my property. This is the separation distance to properties noted by the Scottish Government.
- 5.19 Our property will be approximately 800 metres from these turbines which are 126 metres in height and we will have an uninterrupted view of the proposed development.

***The Scottish Government does not provide a definitive acceptable distance from turbines to residential properties, merely guidance in relation to this issue in PAN 45.***

#### Effect on the operation of Whitelee Wind farm

- 5.20 Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) objects to the proposal in terms of both cumulative noise assessment and on the grounds that Cowans Law wind farm would result in an adverse impact on all turbines at Whitelee in terms of loss of energy yield, increased turbulence and fatigue loading. Cowans Law wind farm would result in a reduction in output from Whitelee wind farm extension of 6.2Gwh/annum. This is approximately 1.2% of the expected production from Whitelee wind farm extension. This would result in an annual revenue loss of £500k per annum. These figures only take account of “wake losses” and do not include energy losses through fatigue loading of turbines.

Consequently SPR considers that the proposal does not comply with Local Plan Policy CS11(Adopted Local Plan 2003) and CS17(Adopted Local Plan 2010).

***In terms of response to this issue please refer to sections 6 and 7 of this report and Local Plan policies CS11 Adopted Local Plan and CS17 of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan.***

## **6. ASSESSMENT AGAINST DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

- 6.1 Sections 25 and 37(2) of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 require that planning applications be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. For the purposes of assessing the proposed development, the development plan comprises the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan (2007) and the Adopted East Ayrshire Local Plan (2003).

#### Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan

- 6.2 *ECON 6 Renewable Energy*

Proposals for the generation and utilisation of renewable energy should be promoted and will conform to the plan both in stand alone locations and as

integral parts of new and existing developments where it can be demonstrated there will be no significant adverse impact, including adverse cumulative impact or infrastructure constraints, and where the design of the development is sensitive to landscape character, biodiversity and cultural heritage.

- 6.3 With regard to wind energy, the plan states that Ayrshire has above average mean wind speeds and as such is attractive for wind energy generation and, in particular, as a location for wind farm development. The Government has recently set targets for Scotland of electricity demand from renewable energy of 50% by 2020. To achieve these targets at a local level a significant expansion in the number of wind turbines may be required.

***Notwithstanding the governments targets for renewable energy sources the current proposal under consideration fails to comply with the terms of Structure Plan policy ECON 6 as it cannot be demonstrated that there will be no significant adverse impact, including adverse cumulative impacts and that the design of the development is sensitive to landscape character.***

***In terms of visual impact the proposed wind farm in addition to the adjacent 215 turbine Whitelee wind farm would result in unacceptable levels of cumulative landscape and visual impact.***

***In addition the array design of the proposed wind farm constitutes long singular lines of turbines with a separation distance of approximately 300 metres. In comparison the Whitelee wind farm, immediately adjacent to the proposed site, has developed a more sympathetic array design with a separation distance of up to 600 metres between turbines. Consequently the design of both wind farm arrays is incompatible and results in an adverse impact on character of the landscape.***

- 6.4 Given the Government's target, there is an onus to find wind farm locations that would contribute to the overall national supply and take environmental impacts into account. The plan further states, however, that local benefits arising from wind farms can be important to the future economic regeneration of rural communities. To guide wind farm development in a manner that maintains landscape and biodiversity value and safeguards the operational needs of Glasgow and Glasgow Prestwick Airports, two areas of search for large scale wind farm development have therefore been identified, these being South Carrick and Whitelee Forest. Policy ECON7 specifically relates to wind farm development and states:

ECON7 Wind farms

- (A) In the Areas of Search proposals for large and small scale wind farm development will be supported subject to specific proposals satisfactorily addressing all other material considerations.

***Whilst Cowans Law wind farm proposal does lie within an Area of Search as defined in the Key Diagram of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan the proposal does not satisfy all other material considerations in terms of national planning policy and guidelines in terms of the***

***significant unacceptable adverse visual and landscape impacts on nearby communities and on the surrounding area.***

- (B) Areas designated for their national or international natural heritage value, and green belts, will be afforded significant protection from large scale wind farms.

***There are no areas of national or international heritage value and no green belt areas located within or adjacent to the development site.***

- (C) The integrity of national and international designations should not be compromised.

***There are no areas of national or international heritage value located within or adjacent to the development site.***

- (D) Cumulative impact will be assessed in all relevant cases, taking into account existing wind farms, those which have permission and those that are subject of valid but undetermined applications. The weight to be accorded to undetermined applications will reflect their position in the application process. Where the limit of acceptable cumulative impact has been reached the area will be afforded significant protection.

***The proposed wind farm at Cowans Law is located immediately adjacent to Whitelee wind farm which has planning consent for 215 turbines making this site, to date, the largest onshore wind farm in Europe.***

***It is noted that, from the illustrative material (wireframes and photomontages) supplied as part of the submission there would be significant cumulative visual impacts arising from the proposed additional 20 turbines at Cowans Law with the Whitelee wind farm, as viewed from the south and west and from the A 719 in particular. From some aspects of the A719 the proposed wind farm would result in a cumulative visual impact that would result in an adverse visual effect on the essentially open farmland landscape that characterises this area. The limit of acceptable cumulative impact has been reached and the area should be afforded significant protection.***

***The proposed site extends some 2.5 kilometres west of Whitelee wind farm which means that from vantage points on the M77 and the A719 the proposed turbines obtrude from the mass of Whitelee wind farm grouping to an unacceptable degree and would subtend a much greater horizontal angle than is warranted by the number of turbines proposed.***

***It should be noted that unlike the consented turbines in Phase 2 extension to Whitelee wind farm, some of which could acceptably be set back behind the ridgeline north of the Irvine Valley, the more gradually sloping terrain east of the A719 means there is less scope for use of ridges and basins to ameliorate the cumulative visual impact of the turbines.***

***When the proposed wind farm at Cowans Law is considered together with the turbines of Whitelee, the largest onshore wind farm in Europe, the cumulative visual impact of all turbines combined, particularly from the south west and parts of the A 719, would be***

***considerable, the Cowans Law wind farm significantly increasing the horizontal angle subtended by the Whitelee wind farm where these are seen along the skyline.***

***Consequently the proposal would result in significant and adverse effects on the landscape and visual amenity of the area and would not comply with Policy ECON7(D) of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan.***

(E) Outside the Areas of Search: all wind farm proposals will be assessed against the following constraints, any positive or adverse effects on them and how the latter can be overcome or minimised:

- Historic environment;
- Areas designated for their regional and local natural heritage value;
- Tourism and recreational interests;
- Communities;
- Buffer zones;
- Aviation and defence interests;
- Broadcasting installations.

***The proposal falls within the Area of Search identified in the Key Diagram of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan.***

(F) Proposals affecting Sensitive Landscape Character Areas shall satisfactorily address any impacts on the particular interest that the designation is intended to protect but the designation shall not unreasonably restrict the overall ability of the plan area to contribute to national targets.

***There are no Sensitive Landscape Character Area designations within or immediately adjacent to the site.***

(G) In all cases, applications for wind farms should be assessed in relation to criteria including, as appropriate, grid capacity, impacts on the landscape and historic environment, ecology (including birds), biodiversity and nature conservation, the water environment, communities, aviation, telecommunications, noise and shadow flicker.

***The proposed development will deliver up to 50 megawatts of renewable energy to the national grid and is close in proximity to a grid connection point.***

***As previously stated in part D above the proposal would result in significant and adverse effects on the landscape and visual amenity of the area and communities and consequently does not comply with Policy ECON 7(G) of the Structure Plan.***

***In terms of ecology, biodiversity and nature conservation SNH has not objected to the proposal subject to appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement being attached to any consent. However as stated at part 4.8 of this report SNH has raised concern over the significant adverse visual, landscape and cumulative impacts of the proposed wind farm.***

**Scottish Water has not objected to the application and SEPA have not offered any objection subject to the attachment of appropriate planning conditions to any consent.**

**The nearest communities to the proposed wind farm site are Moscow and Waterside and it should be noted that the community council have objected to the application as detailed at section 4 of this report.**

**In this instance in terms of aviation issues no objections have been received from any aviation consultee.**

**In terms of telecommunications this issue would be addressed by legal agreement if planning permission was granted.**

**In terms of noise and shadow flicker Environmental Health have not offered any adverse comments in relation to the proposal.**

**Given all of the above the proposal is not compliant with Policy ECON7(A), (D) and (G) of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan.**

6.5 Under Policy ENV 1 Landscape Quality the quality of Ayrshire's landscape and its distinctive local characteristics shall be maintained and enhanced. In providing for new development, particular care shall be taken to conserve those features that contribute to local distinctiveness including:

A) settings of communities and buildings within the landscape;

**It is considered that, although the turbines of the proposed wind farm would be located further than the 2 km accepted by SPP as a minimum reasonable distance from communities the proposed turbines in addition to the Whitelee wind farm will result in a significant adverse visual cumulative impact on the corridor along the A719 and its communities and in particular the buildings within the landscape at Hareshawmuir Road. Consequently the proposal does not comply with Policy ENV1 (A) of the Ayrshire Structure Plan.**

B) patterns of woodland, fields, hedgerows and tree features;

**Whilst there are no Ancient or Semi- natural Woodlands within the proposal site, it is noted that SNH are concerned about potential incursions of the wind farm into tree belts of historic value. However conditions could be placed on any consent, requiring the applicant to undertake restorative planting in historic shelterbelts to compensate for tree loss elsewhere in the site.**

C) special qualities of rivers estuaries and coasts;

**The proposal would not have an effect on such features.**

D) historic landscapes;

**Views to the north from the southern parts of Galston and its environs, and particularly across the Loudoun Estate Historic Garden and**

***Designed Landscape will be affected by the proposed wind turbines but, given the distances involved, not to a significant level.***

- E) skylines and hill features, including prominent views;

***The proposed turbines and the design of turbine arrays in addition to the Whitelee wind farm are likely to have a significant unacceptable cumulative visual impact on the skyline as seen from nearby settlements and in particular along the route of the A719. Consequently the proposal does not comply with Policy ENV1(E) of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan.***

East Ayrshire Local Plan (EALP)

- 6.6 Policy CS 9 states that the Council will require all applications for renewable energy developments which fall within the scope of the Environmental Assessment Regulations to be accompanied by an environmental assessment.

***It is noted that the applicants have submitted an Environmental Statement.***

- 6.7 Policy CS 9 also states that all wind farm, wind turbine and other renewable energy developments will be rigorously assessed against the following criteria:

- (i) the extent to which the development may adversely affect any sites of nature conservation interest and, in particular, the natural habitat, territory and breeding areas of upland birds.

***Should planning permission be granted, conditions and a legal agreement would address the above issues.***

- (ii) the extent to which the amenity of residents of nearby towns, villages and other residential properties may be adversely affected by reason of noise emission, visual dominance and other nuisance;

***Environmental Health have not offered any adverse comments in relation to noise emission.***

***The proposed wind farm, as it subtends more than 2 km to the west from Whitelee wind farm, proposes to erect wind turbines which would be situated less than 1km from the nearest residential property in the Hareshawmuir Road.***

***The Hareshawmuir Road is located to the north of the application site and consists of a grouping of residential properties which would in effect be bounded to the east by the turbines of Whitelee wind farm and more immediately to the south by the proposed wind turbines of Cowans Law. In this instance the additional turbines located in such close proximity to residential properties will result in a significant adverse visual dominance on both the landscape and the amenity of these residential properties. Consequently the proposal does not comply with Policy CS9(ii) of the EALP.***

- (iii) the extent to which the development may adversely affect any recognised heritage resources, including Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas, Scheduled Ancient monuments, Archaeological Sites and Historic Gardens and Designed Landscapes and their individual settings;

***The proposal would not affect any Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas or Scheduled Ancient Monuments. In terms of Archaeological issues, WOSAS has not objected to the application subject to appropriate planning conditions. The application site is located to the north of Loudoun Castle Historic Gardens and Designed Landscapes. However, given that the application site is approximately 2km distant from the designated site, the visual impact is unlikely to prove significant.***

- (iv) the visual impact of the proposal and its siting within the immediate and wider natural landscape;

***The proposal would be sited within a plateau moorland area with forestry. However, despite the wind farm being located within a landscape type of theoretically low visual sensitivity, to accord with Policy CS 9 the proposal should not have an undue visual impact on the skyline as seen from significant vantage points from surrounding areas of higher sensitivity which include the landscapes surrounding and west of the villages of Waterside, Moscow and Fenwick, and the landscape of the Irvine Valley. Given that the proposed wind farm is sited immediately adjacent to Whitelee wind farm, the proposed development would result in a significant adverse impact on the natural landscape as the capacity of the landscape to absorb the wind farm has been exceeded. Consequently the proposal is contrary to Policy CS9(iv) of the East Ayrshire Local Plan.***

- (v) the extent to which the proposal may conflict with the Council's strategy to promote tourism related developments in the Doon and Irvine Valleys, Glen Afton and the Muirkirk Uplands;

***The proposal is unlikely to result in an adverse impact on tourism in the area.***

- (vi) the extent to which the proposal may adversely affect or irreversibly damage prime quality agricultural land;

***None of the land within the application site is prime quality agricultural land.***

- (vii) the cumulative impact of the proposal with other existing or authorised renewable energy developments;

***The proposal raises significant issues of cumulative impacts taking account of the Whitelee wind farm and its significant size and scale. The Cowans Law wind farm obtrudes from the general mass of the Whitelee grouping and as a result can be seen prominently from more of the A 719 and other vantage points south and west than can the Whitelee turbines which conversely are set further back in the plateau. Therefore, the cumulative impact of the proposed Cowans Law wind farm when viewed together with the Whitelee grouping results in a***

**significant adverse affect on the visual and landscape amenity of the area and nearby communities and consequently the proposal does not comply with Policy CS9(vii) of the EALP.**

- (viii) the environmental impact of the connections linking the development site with the national grid and the provision of adequate access arrangements from the surrounding road network;

**It is considered there would be no formal environmental constraints relating to the proposed access, and link to the grid, from the wind farm to the A 719, although the Roads & Transportation Service would have to be consulted by the applicant regarding any temporary or permanent effects this might have on traffic management on the A 719.**

- (ix) the impact of the turbines on radar performance and other safety considerations.

**No objections have been received from any consultee in relation to the issue of air traffic radar.**

- 6.8 Policy CS 10 states that where a turbine is not in operation producing electricity for a continuous period of six months, the operator will require to provide evidence to the Council that the apparatus is in the process of being repaired or replaced.

Otherwise, the Council will deem the turbine to be surplus to requirements and it must be removed, with the land restored to its original condition within an appropriate period to be agreed with the Council.

**The decommissioning proposals set out in the applicant's Environmental Statement are noted and accord with Policy CS 10. However, should planning permission be granted a condition could be attached to any consent to address this issue.**

- 6.9 Policy CS 11 states that where a wind energy development is already operative or has received authorisation from the Council, the Council will presume against any other development in the vicinity of the site which would compromise or inhibit the efficient operation of the development itself.

**An objection based on policy CS11 has been received from Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) the operator of Whitelee wind farm which adjoins the site at Cowans Law on the grounds that Cowans Law wind farm would result in an adverse impact on all turbines at Whitelee in terms of loss of energy yield, increased turbulence and fatigue loading.**

**They state that Cowans Law wind farm would result in a reduction in output from Whitelee wind farm extension by 6.2Gwh/annum approximately 1.2% of the expected production from Whitelee wind farm extension. This would result in an annual revenue loss of £500k per annum. These figures only take account of "wake losses" and do not include energy losses through fatigue loading of turbines. Consequently SPR considers that the proposal does not comply with Local Plan Policy CS11. In response the applicant considers that the**

**separation distances between turbines is acceptable and will not result in any loss of efficiency at Whitelee Wind farm.**

**In terms of Policy CS11 the Council considers that, from the information provided by the two commercially competing wind farm companies, that any loss of operational efficiency, is likely, from the figures provided (1.2% from the extension to Whitelee) to be minimal and not of any magnitude that would significantly adversely affect the operation of either wind farm.**

- 6.10 Policy CS 12 states that in determining any proposals for wind farm developments within close proximity to other Council areas, due regard will be taken of any other consents/proposals for similar developments in the neighbouring authority areas concerned. There will be a presumption against any such development which would be located in close proximity to a similar development in an adjacent authority area if it would constitute an unacceptable visual intrusion when viewed in conjunction with that development.

**The proximity of the Whitelee wind farm extending into South Lanarkshire and East Renfrewshire, is as a whole relevant in terms of cumulative impacts with the Cowans Law proposal. From certain vantage points in East Ayrshire, notably from Loudoun Hill, the Cowans Law wind farm would be seen simultaneously with some turbines that are within South Lanarkshire although in terms of Policy CS 12 the cumulative impacts would be significant only with those turbines of Whitelee wind farm that are within East Ayrshire. It is therefore concluded that Cowans Law wind farm would accord with Policy CS 12.**

- 6.11 Policy ENV 12 states that throughout the rural area, and especially in the Sensitive Landscape Character Areas identified on the Local Plan maps, the Council will ensure that all development proposals respect, in terms of their design, the local landscape characteristics of the particular area within which they are proposed. Developers will be expected to conserve and enhance, and reinstate or replace where appropriate, those features which contribute to the intrinsic landscape value and quality of the area concerned, including:

- (i) existing setting of settlements and buildings within the landscape;

**There are no listed buildings within the site or in the immediate vicinity of the site.**

- (ii) existing woodlands, shelter belts, hedgerows and trees;

**In paragraph 13.6 of the Environmental Statement it is stated that 'much of the forestry area proposed for the turbines is scheduled to be felled in 2008, 2010 and 2012 and significantly reduces the amount of timber to be removed to accommodate the turbines'.**

- (iii) existing burns, rivers, lochs and other water features;

**SEPA have not objected to the proposal subject to the imposition of appropriate planning conditions.**

- (iv) existing field patterns and means of enclosure including dry stone dykes, hedging and fencing;

***As the area of the proposal is afforested it is considered that, with the exception of the potential effects of the proposal on fencing required for division of forestry coupes about which the applicants should demonstrate they have reached agreement with the Forestry Commission Scotland, the wind farm would accord with this criterion.***

- (v) existing public rights of way, footpaths and bridleways;

***The Council's Core Path Plan has identified a potential route (ref. IV No.19, Kilmarnock to Whitelee Forest) which passes centrally through the site. A condition could be attached to any planning consent to address the issue of public access, the diversion and reinstatement of the route.***

- (vi) existing skylines, landform and contours;

***Whilst the proposed wind turbines would be concealed from a large part of the Irvine Valley, including Newmilns and Darvel through the intervening hills east of Moscow, there would be considerable skyline impact from Moscow itself, Waterside, Fenwick, parts of Galston and other parts of the Irvine Valley. There would also be cumulative skyline impacts with the turbines of Whitelee wind farm from notable local viewpoints.***

Development which results in the permanent loss of landscape features which are not readily renewable, and whose loss would be unacceptable in landscape terms, will not be supported by the Council.

***There would be no permanent loss of landscape features as a result of the proposal proceeding.***

- 6.12 Policy ENV 13 states that within the rural area, and especially within the Sensitive Landscape Character Areas identified on the Local Plan maps, the Council will ensure, through the development process, that:

- (i) any authorised development is in keeping with, has minimal visual impact and reflects the nature of the rural area in which it is located, in terms of layout, materials used, design, size, scale, finish and colour. The design and material finish of any ancillary features will also require to be sympathetic to the character and appearance of the area;

***Whilst the proposed site is located in the rural area it is not located in a Sensitive Landscape Area. In terms of visual impact the proposed wind farm in addition to the adjacent 215 turbine Whitelee wind farm would result in unacceptable levels of cumulative landscape and visual impact.***

***In addition the array design of the proposed wind farm constitutes long singular lines of turbines with a separation distance of approximately 300 metres. In comparison the Whitelee wind farm,***

***immediately adjacent to the proposed site, has developed a more sympathetic array design with a separation distance of up to 600 metres between turbines. Consequently the design of both wind farm arrays is incompatible and results in an adverse visual impact on the landscape. Consequently the design and layout of the proposed development does not comply with Policy ENV13(i).***

- (ii) any authorised development is sensitively sited, landscaped and screened so as to blend into, respect and complement the landscape characteristics of the particular area in which it is to be located;

***Whilst the proposed wind farm would be located within a landscape theoretically most suited to absorbing its visual impacts, its cumulative impact with the Whitelee grouping is held to exceed the capacity of the landscape to absorb large scale wind farms and therefore in the context of the landscape characteristics of the site, the proposal is not considered acceptable and does not comply with Policy ENV13(ii).***

- (iii) the landscape setting of a particular area affected by a proposed development is safeguarded from adverse or irreversible change by the use of appropriate planning conditions, management agreements, preparation and promotion of environmental improvement schemes, development and design briefs etc.

***Any planning consent could be conditioned and be the subject of a Section 75 legal agreement and a Section 96 agreement (Roads Scotland Act) to ameliorate any adverse or irreversible impacts to the landscape in which the wind farm would be situated.***

- 6.13 Policy ENV14 states that in assessing development proposals relating to land within the rural area which has not been identified as specific development opportunity sites on the Local Plan maps, the Council shall ensure that these have minimum impact on the rural environment. There will be a presumption against any development which would:

- (i) cause the permanent and irreversible loss of prime quality agricultural land (ie. Classes 1, 2 and 3.1 in the Macaulay Land Classification System) (see Appendix 3);

***None of the land on which the proposal is situated is prime quality agricultural land.***

- (ii) have a permanent adverse impact or cause irreparable damage to built heritage resources requiring conservation or their settings including listed buildings, conservation areas, historic gardens and designed landscapes, scheduled ancient monuments, archaeological and industrial archaeological sites;

***The proposal would have no such impacts on any listed buildings, conservation areas, scheduled ancient monuments and industrial archaeological sites. While no historic garden and designed landscapes are directly affected by the proposals, views into and***

***across the Loudoun Estate – a designated Historic Garden & Designed Landscape – from parts of Galston and other parts of the Irvine Valley would be affected by views of turbines along the skyline and this is considered a significant local landscape issue.***

- (iii) have a permanent adverse impact or cause unacceptable, irreparable damage to natural heritage resources requiring conservation and to existing species and habitats;

***Whilst the proposal would not affect any statutory or non-statutory nature conservation sites, should planning consent be granted, appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement could be attached to any consent to address these issues.***

- (iv) adversely affect the quality of water resources, water catchment areas, land drainage or flood protection interests or create water pollution problems.

***SEPA and Scottish Water have not objected to the application subject to appropriate planning conditions being attached to any consent.***

- (v) result in the destruction of any areas of peat which are considered to be of significant ecological value.

***Should planning permission be granted, appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement would address these issues.***

- 6.14 Policy T6 states that, where considered appropriate, the Council will require developers to produce a transport assessment in respect of proposals which constitute significant traffic generating developments, in order to detail the local transport impacts of the development and to set out the likely effects of the development proposals as described in PAN 57: Transport and Planning.

The applicants submitted environmental statement provides information on access to the site and the proposed new access road to the wind farm. However the applicant has not provided information on either the transportation routes for the turbines or the number or frequency of vehicle movements. Should planning permission be granted a condition should be attached to any consent to address this issue. Furthermore the applicant will require to conclude a Section 96 Legal Agreement (Roads Scotland Act) with the Council to ensure turbine transportation routes and the remediation of any road damage as a result of the development.

## **7. ASSESSMENT AGAINST MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- 7.1 The principal material considerations relevant to the appraisal of the application are the East Ayrshire Local Plan 2010, consultation responses, the representations received, Scottish Planning Policy Renewable Energy, Planning Advice Note 45: Renewable Energy Technologies (PAN45) and Ayrshire Joint Planning Steering Group Wind farms: Addendum to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Technical Report TR03/2006

## Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan

7.2 Policy ENV 15 states that the Council will not be supportive of development which would cause unacceptable and irreparable damage to important landscape features within rural areas. In this regard, developers will be expected to conserve and enhance, (and reinstate or replace where appropriate) those features which contribute to the intrinsic landscape value and quality of the area concerned and which are likely to be adversely affected by the particular development proposed, including:

(i) existing setting of settlements and buildings within the landscape;

***There are no listed buildings within or in the vicinity of the proposal.***

(ii) existing woodlands, shelter belts, hedgerows and trees;

***Paragraph 13.6 of the Environmental Statement states that 'much of the forestry area proposed for the turbines is scheduled to be felled in 2008, 2010 and 2012 and significantly reduces the amount of timber to be removed to accommodate the turbines'.***

(iii) existing burns, rivers, lochs and other water features;

***SEPA has not objected to the application subject to appropriate planning conditions being attached to any consent.***

(iv) existing field patterns and means of enclosure including dry stone dykes, hedging and fencing;

***As the area of the proposal is afforested it is considered that, with the exception of the potential effects of the proposal on fencing required for division of forestry coupes about which the applicants should demonstrate they have reached agreement with the Forestry Commission Scotland, the wind farm would accord with this criterion.***

(v) existing Public Rights of Way, footpaths and bridleways; and

***The Council's Core Path Plan has identified a potential route (ref. IV 19, Kilmarnock to Whitelee Forest) which passes centrally through the site. Should planning permission be granted a condition could be attached to any consent to address the issue of public access.***

(vi) existing skylines, landform and contours.

Development which results in the permanent loss of landscape features which are not readily renewable and whose loss would be unacceptable in landscape terms, will not be supported by the Council.

***Whilst the proposed wind turbines would be concealed from a large part of the Irvine Valley, including Newmilns and Darvel through the intervening hills east of Moscow, there would be considerable cumulative skyline impact from Moscow itself, Waterside, Fenwick parts of Galston and other parts of the Irvine valley. There would also be cumulative skyline impacts with the turbines of the Whitelee wind farm from notable local viewpoints. The existing Whitelee wind farm is***

***a prominent development on the skyline and the introduction of an additional adjacent wind farm, with further structures along the horizon results in a proportionally dominant development culminating in an adverse effect on the skyline and the landform.***

7.3 Policy ENV 17 states that in assessing development proposals relating to land within the rural area which has not been identified as specific development opportunity sites on the Local Plan maps, the Council shall ensure that these have minimum impact on the rural environment. There will be a general presumption against any development which would:

- (i) cause the permanent and irreversible loss of prime quality agricultural land (ie. Classes 1, 2 and 3.1 in the Macaulay Land classification System);

***None of the land on which the proposal is situated is prime quality agricultural land.***

- (ii) have significant unacceptable adverse impact or cause irreparable damage to built heritage resources requiring conservation or their settings including listed buildings, conservation areas, historic gardens and designed landscapes, scheduled ancient monuments, archaeological and industrial archaeological sites;

***The proposal would have no such impacts on any listed buildings, conservation areas, scheduled ancient monuments and industrial archaeological sites. While no historic garden and designed landscapes are directly affected by the proposals as referred to above, views into and across the Loudoun Estate – a designated Historic Garden & Designed Landscape – from parts of Galston and other parts of the Irvine Valley would be affected by views of turbines along the skyline and this is considered a significant local landscape issue.***

- (iii) have significant unacceptable adverse impact or cause irreparable damage to natural heritage resources requiring conservation and to existing species and habitats;

***Scottish Natural Heritage has not objected to the application in this report, subject to appropriate planning conditions and the conclusion of a Section 75 legal agreement with the applicant to ensure issues of habitat management.***

- (iv) have significant unacceptable adverse visual impact or cause irreparable damage to the landscape character and scenic quality of the area within which it is proposed;

***As more wind farms are developed at closer distances to each other they will begin to be perceived as a key landscape characteristic and will therefore change the character of a landscape. Turbines are likely to dominate and alter the perception of the vertical scale in the landscape, especially in circumstances such as Cowans Law and Whitelee wind farm where different sizes of turbines are combined along with different separation distance between the turbines. In terms of the current proposal the size and scale of the proposed turbines***

**along with the layout and horizontal design of the wind farm results in an adverse effect on the landscape of the surrounding area. In terms of the cumulative effect of Cowans Law with Whitelee wind farm the landscape cannot satisfactorily absorb the significant scale of the development and should therefore be afforded significant protection.**

**In this instance, the proposed site and turbine layout extends some 2.5 kilometres west of Whitelee wind farm which means that from vantage points on the M77 and the A719 the proposed turbines obtrude from the mass of Whitelee wind farm grouping to an unacceptable degree and would subtend a much greater horizontal angle than is warranted by the number of turbines proposed. The proposal would therefore result in a significant unacceptable adverse visual impact on the corridor along the A719.**

**In addition, in terms of the nearby grouping of residential properties at Hareshawmuir Road the proximity of the proposed turbines (some within 1km) will result in a significant unacceptable adverse visual impact on the residential amenity of these properties. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy ENV17(iv) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan.**

- (v) adversely affect the quality of water resources, water catchment areas, land drainage or flood protection interests or create water pollution problems;

**SEPA have not objected to the application subject to the imposition of appropriate planning conditions. Scottish Water has not offered any objection to the application.**

- (vi) result in the destruction of any areas of peat which are considered to be of significant ecological value.

**Scottish Natural Heritage has not objected to the application subject to appropriate planning conditions and the conclusion of a Section 75 legal agreement with the applicant to ensure ecological issues.**

- 7.4 Policy ENV 24 states that the Council will presume against any developments which:

- (i) have an adverse effect on the water environment by increasing levels of pollution or detrimentally impact upon water quality, aquatic habitats for wildlife or recreational amenity; and
- (ii) have an adverse effect on groundwater or major aquifers.

**The Council supports the source control and passive treatment measures recommended by SEPA in its 'Guide to Sustainable Urban Drainage' and will support new developments with innovative methods of surface water disposal and treatment which meet the standards of SEPA and the Water Authority.**

**SEPA has not objected to the proposal and should planning permission be granted, appropriate conditions should be attached to any consent to address these issues.**

7.5 Policy CS12 states that the Council will positively support and promote the development of sympathetic renewable energy proposals both in stand alone locations and as integral parts of new and existing developments where it can be demonstrated that there will be no significant, unacceptable adverse impact, including adverse cumulative impact with other existing renewable energy developments or other renewable energy developments which are consented or under construction;

(i) on any recognised statutory or non statutory sites of nature conservation interest;

***There are no statutory or non statutory sites of nature conservation interest within the application site.***

(ii) on the amenity of nearby communities or sensitive establishments, including individual or small groups of houses in the countryside that may be adversely affected by reason of noise emission, visual dominance and other nuisance;

***Environmental Health have not offered any adverse comments in relation to noise emission.***

***The Cowans Law Wind farm proposes the extension of wind farm development closer to existing communities, in this case Moscow, Waterside and Fenwick. Indeed Moscow and Waterside Community Council has objected to the proposed Cowans Law wind farm.***

***In this instance, the horizontal and linear design and layout of Cowans Law wind farm in contrast to the cohesive design and layout of Whitelee wind farm, results in a significant, unacceptable adverse cumulative impact on nearby communities. The combined wind farms at this location create an unacceptable degree of adverse visual effect on visual receptors within their combined visual envelope resulting in a significant adverse effect on the amenity of local communities.***

***The proposed wind farm, as it subtends more than 2 km to the west from Whitelee wind farm, proposes to erect wind turbines which would be situated less than 1km from the nearest residential property in the Hareshawmuir Road.***

***The Hareshawmuir Road is located in close proximity to the north of the application site and consists of a grouping of residential properties which would in effect be bounded to the east by the turbines of Whitelee wind farm and more immediately to the south by the proposed wind turbines of Cowans Law. In this instance the additional turbines located in such close proximity to residential properties will result in a significant adverse cumulative visual dominance on both the landscape and the amenity of these residential properties. Consequently the proposal does not comply with policy CS12(ii) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan.***

(iii) on any recognised built heritage resources, including Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas, Scheduled Ancient Monuments, archaeological sites and landscapes and Historic Gardens and Designed Landscapes and their individual settings;

***The proposal would not affect any Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas or Scheduled Ancient Monuments and their settings. While no Historic Gardens and Designed Landscapes would be directly affected by the proposal, views across the setting of the nearby Loudoun Estate historic garden and designed landscape from Galston would include a view of significant numbers of turbines along the northern skyline.***

- (iv) on the visual amenity of the area and the natural landscape setting for the development, particularly within the Sensitive Landscape Character areas as identified on the local plan rural area map; and

***The proposal would be sited within a plateau moorland area with forestry. The application site is not within a Sensitive Landscape Character Area as delineated in the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan. However the Council considers that the visual amenity of the area will be adversely affected by the cumulative effect of the significant size and scale of wind farm development in the area. Indeed the landscape where the development is proposed has reached its capacity to satisfactorily absorb the additional wind farm development as proposed and should therefore be afforded significant protection.***

- (v) on existing infrastructure

Developers will also be required to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Council that all energy production will be generated either at, or in close proximity to, the source of materials used in the generation process and that there will be no unacceptable adverse environmental impact caused by any proposed connections linking the proposed development with the national grid and the surrounding road network.

***There would be no formal environmental constraints relating to the proposed access, and link to the grid, from the wind farm to the A 719.***

- 7.6 Policy CS14 states that the Council will assess all applications for wind farm developments, including extensions to existing, consented and/or operational wind farms, against the provisions of Policy ECON 7 of the approved Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan: Growing a Sustainable Ayrshire and any future supplementary planning guidance to be prepared relating to cumulative impact.

Policy ECON7 of the Structure Plan states:

- (A) In the Areas of Search, proposals for large and small wind farm developments will be supported subject to specific proposals satisfactorily addressing all other material considerations.

***The Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan identifies broad areas of search for large scale commercial wind farms, one of which centres around Whitelee in East Ayrshire. The boundary of the Area of Search was derived from the Ayrshire and Clyde Valley Wind farm Landscape Capacity Study (2004), commissioned by SNH, which classified this area of land as plateau moorland and considered it to be of low***

*sensitivity. However, the scope of the study was limited to the consideration of only landscape and visual factors.*

*The Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan (Finalised Version with Modifications) refines the Structure Plan Area of Search into a Preferred Wind farm Area. The Preferred Wind farm Area is designed to better reflect local considerations, including the local topography, potential adverse local visual impacts, identified features on the ground and appropriate buffer zones around settlements and dwellings. It is therefore considered that the Preferred Wind farm Area provides an appropriate reflection of the diversity within the plateau moorland area and the detail of the local topography.*

*The Preferred Wind farm Area in the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan has been agreed by the Ayrshire Joint Planning Unit as a realistic interpretation of the Broad Area of search within East Ayrshire for Structure Planning purposes.*

*The preferred wind farm area as indicated in the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan has recently been subject to examination by Scottish Ministers through the Local Plan process. Scottish Ministers agreed with the Council's definition of the Area of Search for large scale wind farms and agreed the boundary.*

*In this instance none of the proposed 20 wind turbines are located within the Preferred Wind farm Area as identified on local plan maps and consequently the proposal constitutes a significant departure from local plan policy. In terms of material considerations, the considerable number of turbines located outwith the Preferred Search Area and the long singular layout and design of the turbine arrays (including the distance between turbines) results in a significant adverse visual impact on the character of the surrounding landscape. In addition the cumulative impact of the proposed development with Whitelee wind farm results in significant unacceptable adverse impacts on the visual and landscape character of the area and consequently the area should be afforded significant protection. The proposal does not comply with Policy CS14(A) of the Alteration to the EALP.*

- (B) Areas designated for their national or international heritage value, and green belts, will be afforded significant protection from large scale wind farms.

*The proposal does not impinge on, nor is it adjacent to, any areas subject to national or international designations.*

- (C) The integrity of national and international designations should not be compromised.

*No areas with national or international designations would be compromised by the proposal.*

- (D) Cumulative impact will be assessed in all relevant cases, taking into account existing wind farms, those which have permission and those that are the subject of valid but undetermined applications. The weight to be afforded to undetermined applications will reflect their position in the application

process. Where the limit of acceptable cumulative impact has been reached, the area will be afforded significant protection.

***The proposed wind farm at Cowans Law is located immediately adjacent to Whitelee wind farm which has planning consent for 215 turbines making this site, to date, the largest onshore wind farm in Europe.***

***It is noted that, from the illustrative material (wireframes and photomontages) supplied as part of the submission there would be significant cumulative visual impacts arising from the proposed additional 20 turbines at Cowans Law with the Whitelee wind farm, as viewed from the south and west and from the A 719 in particular. From some aspects of the A719 the proposed wind farm would result in a cumulative visual impact that would result in an significant unacceptable adverse visual effect on the essentially open farmland landscape that characterises this area.***

***The proposed site extends some 2.5 kilometres west of Whitelee wind farm which means that from vantage points on the M77 and the A719 the proposed turbines obtrude from the mass of Whitelee wind farm grouping to an unacceptable degree and would subtend a much greater horizontal angle than is warranted by the number of turbines proposed.***

***It should be noted that unlike the consented turbines in Phase 2 extension to Whitelee wind farm, some of which could acceptably be set back behind the ridgeline north of the Irvine Valley, the more gradually sloping terrain east of the A719 means there is less scope for use of ridges and basins to ameliorate the cumulative visual impact of the turbines.***

***When the proposed wind farm at Cowans Law is considered together with the turbines of Whitelee, the largest onshore wind farm in Europe, the cumulative visual impact of all turbines combined, particularly from the south west and parts of the A 719, is considerable; the Cowans Law wind farm significantly increasing the horizontal angle subtended by the Whitelee wind farm where these are seen along the skyline. Consequently the cumulative effect of both Cowans Law combined with Whitelee wind farm results in a significant unacceptable visual and landscape adverse impact on the surrounding area. In compliance with policy this area should therefore be afforded significant protection from this proposed development.***

***Notwithstanding the significant adverse visual and landscape cumulative effects of the Cowans Law proposal combined with Whitelee wind farm, the Council is considering, as a consultee, a Section 36 application under the Electricity Act 1989 for a 40 turbine wind farm at Harelaw. The combination of the consented Whitelee wind farm with 215 turbines, the undetermined Harelaw wind farm for 40 turbines and the additional proposal at Cowans Law for 20 turbines results in the limit of acceptable cumulative impact being exceeded. Consequently the area should be afforded significant protection from the considerable size and scale of wind farm proposed at Cowans Law.***

***The proposal would result in significant and adverse effects on the landscape and visual amenity of the area and does not comply with Policy ECON7(D) of the Ayrshire Structure Plan or with policy CS14 of the EALP.***

- (E) Outside Areas of Search, all wind farm proposals will be assessed against the following constraints, any positive or adverse effects on them and how the latter can be overcome or minimised:

- 1) Historic environment;
- 2) Areas designated for their regional and local natural heritage value;
- 3) Tourism and recreational interests;
- 4) Communities;
- 5) Buffer zones;
- 6) Aviation and defence interests;
- 7) Broadcasting installations.

***As stated at part (A) above, all of the proposed wind turbines are located outwith the Preferred Wind farm Area.***

***The application site and immediate vicinity are not designated as an historic environment or designated for their regional and local natural heritage value.***

***The proposal would not result in any significant adverse effect on tourism or recreational interests.***

***The nearest communities to the proposed wind farm site are Moscow and Waterside and it should be noted that Moscow and Waterside Community Council has objected to the application. However as previously stated the Hareshawmuir Road is located to the north of the application site and consists of a grouping of residential properties which would in effect be bounded to the east by the turbines of Whitelee wind farm and more immediately to the south by the proposed wind turbines at Cowans Law. In this instance the additional turbines located in such close proximity (less than 1km) to residential properties will result in a significant cumulative adverse effect on both the landscape and visual amenity of these residential properties.***

***In terms of aviation issues, no consultee has objected to the proposal.***

***Any broadcasting installation issues would be addressed by a legal agreement if planning permission was granted.***

- (F) Proposals affecting Sensitive Landscape Character Areas shall satisfactorily address any impacts on the particular interests that the designation is intended to protect but the designation shall not unreasonably restrict the overall ability of the plan area to contribute to national targets;

***The proposed wind farm would not be located within a Sensitive Landscape Character Area nor be viewed across such designated areas.***

- (G) In all cases, applications for wind farms should be assessed in relation to criteria including, as appropriate, grid capacity, impacts on the landscape and historic environment, ecology (including birds), biodiversity and nature conservation, the water environment, communities, aviation, telecommunications, noise and shadow flicker.

***The proposed development will deliver up to 50 megawatts of renewable energy to the national grid and is close in proximity to a grid connection point.***

***As previously stated in part D above the proposal would result in significant and adverse effects on the landscape and visual amenity of the area and consequently does not comply with Policy ECON 7(G) of the Structure Plan.***

***In terms of ecology, biodiversity and nature conservation SNH has not objected to the proposal subject to appropriate planning conditions and a legal agreement being attached to any consent. However, as previously stated SNH has raised concerns regarding the significant adverse visual and landscape impacts of the proposed wind farm.***

***Scottish Water has not objected to the application and SEPA have not offered any objection subject to the attachment of appropriate planning conditions to any consent.***

***The nearest communities to the proposed wind farm site are Moscow and Waterside and it should be noted that this community council has objected to the application.***

***In this instance no objections have been received from any consultee in relation to the issue of air traffic radar.***

***In terms of telecommunications this issue would be addressed by legal agreement if planning permission was granted.***

***In terms of noise and shadow flicker Environmental Health have not offered any adverse comments. Given all of the above the proposal is not compliant with Policy ECON7(G) of the Structure Plan.***

- 7.7 Policy CS15 states that the Council will, if mindful to grant planning permission for a commercial wind farm development, require applicants to contribute to a dedicated Renewable Energy Fund which will be used to finance sustainable community environmental projects, particularly those designed to help reduce carbon emissions and counteract global warming. For a period of 10 years from the commencement of construction work on the wind farm, all contributions will be directed exclusively to local projects within 10 kilometres of the boundary of the wind farm. Thereafter, 50% of the contributions received will be directed towards local projects with 50% being reserved for use in the wider East Ayrshire area. Contributions will be payable annually and be set at a standard rate of £2500 per megawatt of installed capacity per annum, index linked to 1 January 2008.'

***The applicant has provided written confirmation that they will comply with the terms of the developer contribution policy. Should planning***

**permission be granted the applicant will require to satisfactorily conclude a legal agreement with the Council to address this issue.**

- 7.8 Policy CS16 states where a wind turbine is not in operation producing electricity for a continuous period of six months, the operator will be required to provide evidence to the Council that the apparatus is in the process of being repaired or replaced. Otherwise, the Council will deem the turbine to be surplus to requirements and require its removal, with the land restored to its original condition within an appropriate period to be agreed with the Council.

***The decommissioning proposals set out in the Environmental Statement are noted and accord with Policy CS 10. However, should planning permission be granted, an appropriate condition would require to be attached to any consent to address this issue.***

- 7.9 Policy CS17 states that where a wind farm development is already operative or has received authorisation from the Council, the Council will presume against any other development in the vicinity of the site which would significantly compromise or inhibit the efficient operation of the development itself.

***An objection based on policy CS17 has been received from Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) the operator of Whitelee wind farm which adjoins the site at Cowans Law on the grounds that Cowans Law wind farm would result in an adverse impact on all turbines at Whitelee in terms of loss of energy yield, increased turbulence and fatigue loading.***

***Cowans Law wind farm would result in a reduction in output from Whitelee wind farm extension of 6.2Gwh/annum approximately 1.2% of the expected production from Whitelee wind farm extension. This would result in an annual revenue loss of £500k per annum. These figures only take account of "wake losses" and do not include energy losses through fatigue loading of turbines. Consequently SPR considers that the proposal does not comply with Local Plan Policy CS17. In response the applicant considers that the separation distances between turbines is acceptable and will not result in any loss of efficiency at Whitelee Wind farm.***

***In terms of Policy CS17 the Council considers that from the information provided by the two commercially competing wind farm companies that any loss of operational efficiency, is likely, from the figures provided (1.2% from the extension to Whitelee) to be minimal and not of any magnitude that would significantly adversely affect the operation of either wind farm. Consequently the proposal is not contrary to the terms of Policy CS17.***

- 7.10 In terms of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan it should be noted that the issue of the definition of the Preferred Wind farm Search Area and the Broad Search Area as identified in the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan has been assessed by Scottish Ministers. Scottish Ministers have confirmed that the approach taken by the Council is acceptable. Consequently it is important to note that the appeal currently under consideration by the DPEA

for the 25 turbine application at Cowans Law will also address the issue of the difference between the wind farm search area as indicated in the Structure Plan and the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan.

### Consultations Responses

- 7.11 The majority of the consultees offered no objection to the proposal subject to the imposition of appropriate planning conditions and the conclusion of legal agreements. However, the planning authority has received an objection from Moscow and Waterside Community Council in terms of the unacceptable visual and landscape impacts of the proposal. The Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan team has indicated that the application is contrary to Structure Plan policy as the limit of acceptable impact of wind farms on the landscape has been reached and that the area should be afforded significant protection.

### Representations

- 7.12 Within the representations received by the Council, there are concerns particularly in relation to noise emissions, landscape character and visual amenity impacts which are considered to be material in the determination of this application and in relation to this Council coming to a view on the proposed development.
- 7.13 It is acknowledged that a wind farm of this size and scale will result in significant visual impacts on both the landscape character and visual amenity of an area. Taking account of the proposal for an additional 20 turbines at Cowans Law and the cumulative effect of the existing Whitelee wind farm, the residential amenity of local communities and the surrounding area and in particular the grouping of properties located at Hareshawmuir Road, would be significantly unacceptably adversely affected by the proposed wind farm.

### SPP Wind Farms

- 7.14 SPP Wind Farms set out Scottish Planning Policy on renewable energy developments. The Scottish Ministers have recently set a target of generating 50% of Scotland's electricity from renewable sources by 2020 and confirmed that this target should not be regarded as a cap. The importance of using clean and sustainable energy from renewable sources will continue to increase as a result of global imperatives to tackle climate change and the need to ensure secure and diverse energy supplies. The Scottish Ministers will continue to support the full range of renewable generation technologies, including microrenewables, to enable Scotland to realise its considerable renewable energy potential.
- 7.15 SPP encourages planning authorities to support a diverse range of renewable energy technologies and guide development to appropriate locations and provide clarity on issues that will be taken into account when specific proposals are assessed. Development plans should support all scales of development associated with the generation of energy and heat from renewable sources.
- 7.16 The SPP states that factors relevant to the consideration of applications will depend on the scale of the development and its relationship with the surrounding area, but are likely to include impact on the landscape, historic

environment, natural heritage and water environment, amenity and communities and any cumulative impacts that arise. The design and location of any wind farm development should reflect the scale and character of the landscape. The location of turbines should be considered carefully to ensure that the landscape and visual impact is minimised.

- 7.17 Importantly, the SPP considers that in terms of cumulative impacts, planning authorities should take account of existing wind farms, those which have permission and valid applications for wind farms which have not been determined. The SPP states that planning authorities should set out in the development plan a framework for onshore wind farms of over 20 megawatts generating capacity. In this regard SPP indicates the spatial framework should identify areas requiring significant protection where the cumulative impact of existing and consented wind farms limits further development.
- 7.18 In assessing wind farm proposals in areas of potential constraints planning authorities should consider likely impacts on communities, including long term and significant impacts on amenity.
- 7.19 The relevance of these extracts from SPP is considered in the context of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan 2007, the East Ayrshire Local Plan and the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan as noted above. Consequently the planning authority considers that the proposal fails to comply with national planning policy in terms of the significant unacceptable cumulative adverse visual and landscape impacts on nearby communities and on the surrounding area. In accordance with SPP, areas where the cumulative impact of existing and consented wind farms limits further development require significant protection.

#### PAN 45: Renewable Energy Technologies

- 7.20 PAN 45 provides information on renewable energy technologies and advice for dealing with these as planning issues in both development plans and planning applications. In relation to wind energy developments, issues include safety, proximity to roads, electro-magnetic interference, noise, shadow flicker, birds and habitats, cumulative effects, siting in the landscape, visual impact, decommissioning and the EIA process requirement.
- 7.21 PAN45 indicates that proposals for new wind energy developments in an area with one or more existing developments and proposals for more than one wind energy development in one area are factors that constitute cumulative wind farm development.

The nature and character of the location and the landscape in which a development is located will determine the acceptability or otherwise of siting proposals in proximity to each other. PAN45 states that two or more wind farms or the extension of a wind farm can have cumulative impacts which will most frequently involve changes to the landscape and changes affecting views and visual amenity.

- 7.22 The PAN clarifies that it may be appropriate to provide significant protection to the areas between wind farms or clusters of wind farms when analysis shows that their visual separation should be maintained. The scale and pattern of turbines plus the tracks will be relevant to critical factors such as landscape and visual impacts.
- 7.23 The relevance of these extracts from PAN45 is considered in the context of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan 2007, the East Ayrshire Local Plan and the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan as noted above. The planning authority considers that the proposal fails to comply with the criteria of PAN45 in terms of the significant unacceptable adverse cumulative visual and landscape impacts on nearby communities and on the surrounding area. In this case it is appropriate to afford the area significant protection from the adverse impacts of the proposed wind farm at Cowans Law.

Ayrshire Joint Planning Steering Group Wind farms: Addendum to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Technical Report TR03/2006

- 7.24 All three Ayrshire Councils have agreed that this Addendum will be used in the assessment of wind farm applications. The purpose of the Addendum is to support the implementation of wind energy policy as set out in the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan.

The addendum provides developers with greater clarity regarding those areas where the principle of wind farm development is likely to be acceptable or unacceptable and to provide further explanation of the criteria against which new development will be assessed.

- 7.25 In terms of the Addendum the proposed site is not located in an area afforded significant protection from the effects of large scale wind farms. The Addendum requires that an assessment be undertaken against relevant development plan policies to protect the interests of designated sites. This assessment has been undertaken at part 6 of this report.
- 7.26 Part 14 of the Addendum refers to cumulative impacts and clarifies that these will frequently involve landscape and visual impacts but may also affect natural heritage designations. In this case there are no natural heritage designations within the application site but issues of landscape and visual impact are fundamental to the proposal. In terms of cumulative impacts the effect of the proposal on the landscape and nearby residential properties at Hareshawmuir Road and communities at Moscow, Waterside and Fenwick has to be considered against the adjoining Whitelee wind farm, to date the largest onshore wind farm in Europe. Given this situation the proposal results in significant unacceptable adverse effects on the character of the landscape and on the visual amenity of the area. The landscape cannot satisfactorily absorb further wind farm development and should therefore be afforded significant protection.
- 7.27 Part 18 of the Addendum indicates that establishing and maintaining visual separation from other wind farms will allow for a clear distinction to be perceived between the wind farmed landscape and the landscape beyond. It is therefore appropriate to provide significant protection to the areas in the immediate vicinity of these newly created wind farm landscapes on visual grounds.

## **8. FINANCIAL AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 8.1 There are no potential financial implications for the Council in coming to a view on this application, other than those pertaining to ongoing contributions towards the Renewable Energy Fund. Legal implications will arise though the requirement for a Section 75 Agreement under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 and a Section 96 agreement under the Roads (Scotland) Act, should the Council be minded to grant consent for the proposed development.
- 8.2 In the event of the application being approved, Heads of Agreement under Section 75 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997, to be concluded prior to the issue of consent, should comprise the following:
- The provision (and monitoring) of Financial Bonds for the restoration and aftercare of the site (including the borrow pits) in terms acceptable to the Council and in a sum sufficient to meet the estimated restoration costs.
  - The payment of an agreed developer contribution towards the Renewable Energy Fund.
  - The preparation and implementation of a television reception remedial procedure.
  - The preparation and implementation of a Habitat Management Plan to the satisfaction of the Council, Scottish Natural Heritage(SNH), Scottish Water (SW) and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB).
  - The production of a Protected Mammals Management Plan in respect of mitigation for badgers and otters including proposals for monitoring and reporting.
  - The undertaking and establishment of baseline conditions in respect of the water environment and water quality on the site.
  - The undertaking and establishment of baseline conditions in respect of the bog environment and peat quality on the site.
  - The establishment of noise, dust and vibration monitoring programmes.
  - The maintenance of any private water supply or drainage services to private residential properties which may be affected by the construction or operation of the Wind farm.
  - The provision of an ecological Clerk of Works.
  - The funding and appointment of a Planning Monitoring Officer.
  - The securing of public access in accordance with the Council's Core Path Plan.
  - Payment of an agreed contribution towards the cost of mitigating any direct environmental effects.

- The right to suspend operations should there be justifiable and actionable noise complaints confirmed as being in breach of stipulated day and night time noise limits.

## 9. CONCLUSIONS

9.1 As indicated in section 6 of the report, the application is not in accordance with the development plan. As is indicated at Section 7 of the report, there are material considerations relevant to this application. These are not generally supportive of the application in terms of representations, national policy, planning advice and guidance.

9.2 The proposed Cowans Law wind farm development does not comply with policy ECON 6, ECON7 parts (A), (D) & (G) and Policy ENV1 (A), (E) of the Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan 2007. Furthermore the proposal does not comply with policy CS9 (ii), (iv), (vii) and Policy ENV13 (i) and (ii) of the East Ayrshire Local Plan 2003. In terms of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan the proposal is contrary to policy ENV17 (iv), policy CS12 (ii) and (iv) and policy CS14 (A), (D) and (G).

Assessing the proposals against the development plan it is considered that the proposal:

- presents unacceptable visual and landscape impacts in terms of the setting of the proposed wind farm within the immediate wider landscape
- presents unacceptable adverse cumulative impacts when considered with the existing, authorised and proposed wind farms within the vicinity of the site.

9.3 The proposed development, together with other existing wind farm developments (existing, consented and proposed) will provide a generating capacity that would meet a significant portion of the Scottish Executive's renewable energy 2020 target placing a disproportionate burden on the local communities in East Ayrshire in satisfying national energy requirements. This is of particular relevance when considering the contribution already made by East Ayrshire in terms of existing renewable energy production as currently, Whitelee wind farm is the largest onshore wind farm in Europe with the majority of the turbines located in East Ayrshire and also in terms of the contribution through the production of opencast coal with consented reserves being greater than that of any other part of the UK.

9.4 It is recognised that the proposed development would result in potential socio-economic benefits through the following:

- the preparation of a Habitat Management Plan that, in terms of proposed mitigation of adverse impacts on the natural environment, would deliver positive benefits to managed areas through increased biodiversity;
- funding by the developer of an educational ranger and the provision of bursaries for students from the local area who are enrolled on renewable energy courses at university
- the potential developer contributions to the Council's Renewable Energy Fund

- the significant benefits accruing from the £30 million investment that potentially would generate output worth an estimated £8 million in the East Ayrshire economy

9.5 Taking all matters into account the potential benefits to be accrued from the proposed development do not in this instance outweigh the significant adverse unacceptable cumulative impacts on the landscape character and visual amenity that would result from the Cowans Law Wind farm in the form and on the area in which it is proposed.

## **10. RECOMMENDATIONS**

10.1 It is recommended that the Local Planning Committee adopt the position that the application should be refused for the reasons indicated on the attached sheet.

10.2 It is recommended that the decision of the Local Planning Committee should be referred to the next appropriate Council meeting for determination.

**Alan Neish**  
**Head of Planning and Economic Development**

## LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS

1. Consultation Letters
2. Statutory Notices and Certificates.
3. Consultation responses.
4. Letters of Representation
5. Adopted East Ayrshire Local Plan (2003).
6. Approved Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan (2007).
7. Ayrshire Joint Planning Steering Group Wind farms: Addendum to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Technical Report TR03/2006
8. Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan
9. Cowans Law Environmental Statement, Design and Access Statement, Non Technical Summary and Habitat management Plan.
10. Cowans Law Maps and Technical Drawings
11. SPP : Renewable Energy
12. PAN 45: Renewable Energy Technologies

Anyone wishing to inspect the above background papers should contact Jane Little on 01563 576768.

1 October 2010  
FV/DVM/JL/MLS

***Implementation Officer: Dave Morris***

**East Ayrshire Council**

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997

**Application No: 10/0345/PP**

---

Location	Cowans Law, Hemphill Road, Moscow, Galston
Nature of Proposal:	Erection of 20 wind turbines, construction of access tracks, crane hardstanding, temporary construction compound, borrow pits, one meteorological mast, on site control room, electrical substation and compound.
Name and Address of Applicant:	Community Windpower Ltd GODSCROFT LANE FRODSHAM CHESHIRE WA6 6XU


---



Officer's Ref: Jane Little  
01563 576768

The application for planning permission should be refused for the following reasons:

1. The development is contrary to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Policy ECON 6 as it cannot be demonstrated that there will be no significant adverse impact, including adverse cumulative impacts and that the design of the development is sensitive to landscape character.
2. The development is contrary to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Policy ECON7 (A) and Policy CS14 (A) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan as the proposal does not satisfy all other material considerations in terms of national planning policy and guidelines in terms of the significant unacceptable adverse visual and landscape impacts on nearby communities and on the surrounding area.
3. The development is contrary to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Policy ECON7 (D), Local Plan Policy CS9(iv) and ENV13(ii) and Policy CS12(ii) and CS14(D) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan as the proposal would result in significant and adverse cumulative impacts on the landscape and visual amenity and the limit of acceptable cumulative impact has been reached and the area should be afforded significant protection.

4. The development is contrary to Ayrshire Joint Structure Plan Policy ECON7 (G), Local Plan Policy CS9(vii) and Policy CS14(G) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan as the proposal would result in significant and adverse cumulative impacts on the visual and landscape amenity and communities.
5. The development is contrary to Ayrshire Structure Plan Policy ENV1 (A) and (E) and the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan Policy ENV15(vi) as the proposal results in a significant adverse effect on communities and skylines within the landscape.
6. The proposal does not comply with the adopted Local Plan Policy CS9 (ii) and CS12(ii) of the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan as the development will result in significant adverse visual dominance on the landscape and on the residential properties on Hareshawmuir Road, thereby adversely affecting the residential amenity of the area.
7. The development is contrary to the adopted Local Plan Policy ENV13 (i) as the proposal would result in an adverse visual impact in terms of layout and design of the turbines on the landscape.
8. The development is contrary to the Alteration to the East Ayrshire Local Plan Policy ENV17(iv) in terms of a significant unacceptable adverse visual impact on the corridor along the A719 and on the residential properties along Hareshawmuir Road.



<p>Title/Location    <b>Cowans Law</b></p> <p>                          <b>Moscow</b></p> <p>                          <b>Application No. 10/0345/PP</b></p>	<p><b>East Ayrshire Council</b></p> <p>Department of Neighbourhood Services          Planning &amp; Economic Development Service.          6 Croft Street          Kilmarnock KA1 1JB          Tel: (01563) 576790    Fax: (01563) 576774          E-Mail : <a href="mailto:Planning@east-ayrshire.gov.uk">Planning@east-ayrshire.gov.uk</a>          Com Date: 8/10/2010</p> 
<p>Key</p>  <p><b>Application Site</b></p>	

This map is reproduced from the Ordnance Survey material with permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office(C) Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. East Ayrshire Council. 100023409.